

Discussiones Mathematicae
Probability and Statistics 37 (2017) 65–67
 doi:10.7151/dmps.1187

FURTHER CHARACTERIZATIONS OF FUNCTIONS OF A PAIR OF ORTHOGONAL PROJECTORS

OSKAR MARIA BAKSALARY

Faculty of Physics, Adam Mickiewicz University
ul. Umultowska 85, 61–614 Poznań, Poland

e-mail: OBaksalary@gmail.com

AND

GÖTZ TRENKLER

Faculty of Statistics, Dortmund University of Technology
Vogelpothsweg 87, D-44221 Dortmund, Germany

e-mail: trenkler@statistik.tu-dortmund.de

Abstract

The paper provides several original conditions involving ranks and traces of functions of a pair of orthogonal projectors (i.e., Hermitian idempotent matrices) under which the functions themselves are orthogonal projectors. The results are established by means of a joint decomposition of the two projectors.

Keywords: Hermitian idempotent matrix, partial isometry, rank, trace, Moore-Penrose inverse.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15B57, 15A09, 15A04, 15A27.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.K. Baksalary, *Algebraic characterizations and statistical implications of the commutativity of orthogonal projectors*, in: Proceedings of the Second International Tampere Conference in Statistics, T. Pukkila, S. Puntanen (Ed(s)), (University of Tampere, Tampere, Finland, 1987) 113–142. doi:10.1016/j.laa.2005.10.038
- [2] J.K. Baksalary, O.M. Baksalary and P. Kik, *Generalizations of a property of orthogonal projectors*, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **420** (2007) 1–8.

- [3] O.M. Baksalary and G. Trenkler, *An alternative approach to characterize the commutativity of orthogonal projectors*, *Discussiones Mathematicae Probability and Statistics* **28** (2008) 113–137.
- [4] O.M. Baksalary and G. Trenkler, *On angles and distances between subspaces*, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **431** (2009) 2243–2260.
- [5] O.M. Baksalary and G. Trenkler, *Revisitation of the product of two orthogonal projectors*, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **430** (2009) 2813–2833.
- [6] O.M. Baksalary and G. Trenkler, *On a subspace metric based on matrix rank*, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **432** (2010) 1475–1491.
- [7] O.M. Baksalary and G. Trenkler, *On the projectors $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}^\dagger$ and $\mathbf{F}^\dagger\mathbf{F}$* , *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **217** (2011) 10213–10223.
- [8] J.K. Baksalary, O.M. Baksalary and T. Szulc, *A property of orthogonal projectors*, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **354** (2002) 35–39.
- [9] A. Ben-Israel and T.N.E. Greville, *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications* (2nd ed.) (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003).

Received 15 May 2017

Accepted 14 July 2017

APPENDIX

In what follows we provide the representations of the Moore–Penrose inverses of selected functions of orthogonal projectors \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{Q} having the forms (??) and (??), respectively.

$$(\mathbf{PQ})^\dagger = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{B}^* \mathbf{A}^\dagger & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}^*,$$

$$(\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{Q})^\dagger = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_r - \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{P}}_\mathbf{A} & -\mathbf{B} \mathbf{D}^\dagger \\ -\mathbf{D}^\dagger \mathbf{B}^* & 2\mathbf{D}^\dagger - \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}^*,$$

$$(\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{Q})^\dagger = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{A} & -\mathbf{B} \mathbf{D}^\dagger \\ -\mathbf{D}^\dagger \mathbf{B}^* & -\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}^*,$$

$$(\mathbf{PQP})^\dagger = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}^\dagger & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}^*,$$

$$(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{PQ})^\dagger = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mathbf{A}} & -\mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_{n-r} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}^*,$$

$$(\mathbf{PQ} + \mathbf{QP})^\dagger = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{B}^* \mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{B})^\dagger \mathbf{B}^* \mathbf{A}^\dagger & \mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{B}^* \mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{B})^\dagger \\ (\mathbf{B}^* \mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{B})^\dagger \mathbf{B}^* \mathbf{A}^\dagger & -2(\mathbf{B}^* \mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{B})^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}^*,$$

$$(\mathbf{PQ} - \mathbf{QP})^\dagger = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & -(\mathbf{B}^*)^\dagger \\ \mathbf{B}^\dagger & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}^*,$$

$$(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{Q})^\dagger = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{A} & -\mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{B} \\ -\mathbf{B}^* \mathbf{A}^\dagger & \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}^*,$$

$$(\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{PQ})^\dagger = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_r & \mathbf{0} \\ -\mathbf{D}^\dagger \mathbf{B}^* & \mathbf{D}^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}^*.$$

Validity of these representations can be verified by exploiting the four Penrose conditions given in (??). Details on how most of these representations were derived can be found in articles [3, 4] and [6, 7].

