# BAYESIAN ESTIMATION OF AR(1) MODELS WITH UNIFORM INNOVATIONS

HOCINE FELLAG AND KARIMA NOUALI

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences University of Tizi Ouzou Tizi-Ouzou 15000, Algeria

#### Abstract

The first-order autoregressive model with uniform innovations is considered. In this paper, we propose a family of BAYES estimators based on a class of prior distributions. We obtain estimators of the parameter which perform better than the maximum likelihood estimator.

**Keywords:** autoregressive model, Bayesian estimator, prior distribution, uniform distribution.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 62F11, 62M10.

## 1. Introduction

Let us consider the following autoregressive model

(1.1) 
$$X_t = \rho X_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \qquad t = \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots,$$

where  $0 < \rho < 1$  and the  $\varepsilon_t$ 's are *i.i.d* and distributed according to uniform distribution U(0,1).  $X_1$  is assumed to be distributed according to  $U(0,1/(1-\rho))$  such that the process is mean stationary. The likelihood

function based on the observations  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is then

$$p(x|\rho) = (1 - \rho) I_A(x),$$

where 
$$A = \{x : 0 \le x_1 \le 1/(1-\rho), 0 \le x_t - \rho x_{t-1} \le 1, t = 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Let  $\rho_1$  the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\rho$  introduced by Bell and Smith (1986):

$$\rho_1 = min(1, x_2/x_1, \dots, x_n/x_{n-1}).$$

The problem of bayesian analysis of AR(1) models is studied by Turkmann (1990) and Ibazizen and Fellag (2003) when the errors are exponential.

In this paper, we propose a Bayesian estimator of the parameter of the model (1.1) using a family of prior distributions for the parameter  $\rho$  proposed by Ibazizen and Fellag (2003). The estimators obtained with this method under quadratic loss structure appear to be closer to the parameter than the usual maximum likelihood estimator  $\rho_1$ .

# 2. Bayesian estimation of the ar(1) parameter

Consider the following family of prior distributions for the parameter  $\rho$ 

(2.1) 
$$p(\rho;\beta) \propto \frac{\rho^{\beta-1}}{1-\rho} I_{(0,1)}(\rho), \quad \beta > 0.$$

Suppose that our data consists of the segment of the observations  $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ . Then, the prior assessment on  $\rho$  is transformed via BAYES theorem into

$$p(\rho|x) \propto p(x|\rho)$$
 .  $p(\rho;\beta)$ 

and then,

$$p(\rho|x) = C \cdot \rho^{\beta-1} I_{(\rho_0,\rho_1)}(\rho)$$

with  $\rho_1$  given above,

$$\rho_0 = \max\left(0, \frac{x_1 - 1}{x_1}, \frac{x_2 - 1}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{x_n - 1}{x_{n-1}}\right) \text{ and } C = \frac{\beta}{\rho_1^{\beta} - \rho_0^{\beta}}.$$

Under quadratic loss structure, the BAYES estimator of  $\rho$  is the posterior mean and is given by the formula

$$\hat{\rho}_B(\beta) = \int_{\rho_0}^{\rho_1} \rho \ p(\rho|x) d\rho \ .$$

This leads to

(2.2) 
$$\hat{\rho}_B(\beta) = \frac{\beta}{\beta + 1} \frac{\rho_1^{\beta + 1} - \rho_0^{\beta + 1}}{\rho_1^{\beta} - \rho_0^{\beta}} , \quad \beta > 0.$$

The posterior variance is

$$\sigma_B^2(\beta) = E\left[ (\rho - \hat{\rho}_B(\beta))^2 | x \right] = \frac{\beta}{\beta + 2} \frac{\rho_1^{\beta + 2} - \rho_0^{\beta + 2}}{\rho_1^{\beta} - \rho_0^{\beta}} - \hat{\rho}_B(\beta)^2.$$

In order to illustrate our formulas, consider one segment of 20 observations from the model (1.1) simulated with the true value  $\rho = 0.4$ . We found

$$\rho_0 = 0.3685$$
 and  $\rho_1 = 0.4193$ 

Simulated values of the Bayesian estimator and the posterior variance (given in parentheses) of  $\rho$  for different values of  $\beta$  are given in the following table

Table 1. Simulated values of the Bayesian estimator and posterior variance of  $\rho$  for n=20 and  $\rho=0.4$ 

β	0.2	0.6	1.0	2.0	5.0
$\hat{ ho}_B(eta)$	0.3934	0.3936	0.3939	0.3944	0.3960
$ \hat{ ho}_B -  ho $	0.00653725	0.0063186	0.0061	0.00555404	0.00392878
$\sigma_B^2(\beta)$	0.000215034	0.000215072	0.000215053	0.000214755	0.000211759

Since  $|\rho_1 - \rho| = 0.0193$ , one can note that, for every  $\beta$ , the estimator  $\hat{\rho}_B(\beta)$  is closer than  $\rho_1$  to the parameter  $\rho$ . Also, the value of  $\hat{\rho}_B$  tends to the true value when  $\beta$  grows . The posterior variance is near zero for every  $\beta$  and changes slightly when  $\beta$  increases.

### 3. Simulation study

Consider the following exhaustive simulation study. We simulate samples from the model (1.1) for  $\beta = 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0$  and for n = 10, 20. The value of  $\hat{\rho}_B(\beta)$  and its posterior variance are calculated. The computations are based on 100000 replications of the process. The results are given in Table 2.

Table 2. Simulated values  $\hat{\rho}_B(\beta)$  and its variance for  $\rho$ =0.1,0.4,0.9, n=10,20 and  $\beta$ =0.5,1.0,1.5,2.0 . Variances are given in parentheses

n	$\rho$	$ ho_1$	$\beta = 0.5$	$\beta = 0.8$	$\beta = 1.0$	$\beta = 1.5$	$\beta = 2.0$
	0.1	0.1052	0.0988	0.1030	0.1134	0.1237	0.1315
		(0.0060)	(0.0018)	(0.0185)	(0.0019)	(0.0022)	(0.0026)
10	0.4	0.4211	0.3954	0.3960	0.3978	0.4000	0.4022
		(0.0024)	(0.0017)	(0.0017)	(0.0016)	(0.0014)	(0.0014)
	0.9	0.9101	0.8999	0.8999	0.8999	0.9000	0.9000
		$(1.08 \ 10^{-4})$	$(0.5525 \ 10^{-4})$	$(0.5522 \ 10^{-4})$	$(0.5521\ 10^{-4})$	$(0.5517 \ 10^{-4})$	$(0.5514 \ 10^{-4})$
	0.1	0.1833	0.0981	0.1087	0.1127	0.1228	0.1305
		(0.0051)	(0.0017)	(0.0017)	(0.0016)	(0.0019)	(0.0022)
20	0.4	0.4540	0.3924	0.3938	0.3949	0.3972	0.3995
		(0.0023)	(0.0018)	(0.0017)	(0.0016)	(0.0015)	(0.0014)
	0.9	0.9100	0.8992	0.8992	0.8992	0.8993	0.8993
		$(1.0434 \ 10^{-4})$	$(0.7808 \ 10^{-4})$	$(0.778 \ 10^{-4})$	$(0.7689.10^{-4})$	$(0.7574\ 10^{-4})$	$(0.7464 \ 10^{-4})$

We can remark that the Bayesian estimator  $\hat{\rho}_B(\beta)$  has smaller standard deviation than the maximum likelihood estimator  $\rho_1$ . In our exhaustive simulation, we remark that, when n is not very small, the Bayesian estimator  $\hat{\rho}_B(\beta)$  is better than the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\rho$  for every  $\beta$ . Also, this is true if n is too small (e.g; n=10) and  $\rho$  not near zero. However, when  $\rho$  is near zero,  $\hat{\rho}_B(\beta)$  is the best only if  $0 < \beta < 1$ . So our conclusion can be as follows: if we choose a prior distribution given by the formula (2.1) with  $0 < \beta < 1$ , then the Bayesian estimator obtained under quadratic loss structure performs better than the maximum likelihood estimator.

# Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the referee for comments and corrections.

#### References

- [1] C.B. Bell and E.P. Smith, *Inference for non-negative autoregressive shemes*, Communication in statistics, Theory and Methods **15** (8) (1986), 2267–2293.
- [2] M.A. Amaral Turkmann, Bayesian analysis of an autoregressive process with exponential white noise, Statistics 4 (1990), 601–608.
- [3] M. Ibazizen and H. Fellag, Bayesian estimation of an AR(1) process with exponential white noise, Statistics 37 (5) (2003), 365–372.

Received 13 March 2004