

*Discussiones Mathematicae
Probability and Statistics* 33 (2013) 79–82
doi:10.7151/dmps.1151

META-ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES APPLIED IN PREVALENCE RATE ESTIMATION

JOÃO PAULO MARTINS

*School of Technology and Management, Polytechnic Institute of Leiria
CEAUL – Center of Statistics and Applications of University of Lisbon*

e-mail: jpmartins@ipleiria.pt

MIGUEL FELGUEIRAS

*School of Technology and Management, Polytechnic Institute of Leiria
CEAUL – Center of Statistics and Applications of University of Lisbon
CIIC – Computer Science and Communications Research Centre of Polytechnic
Institute of Leiria*

e-mail: mfgelg@ipleiria.pt

AND

RUI SANTOS

*School of Technology and Management, Polytechnic Institute of Leiria
CEAUL – Center of Statistics and Applications of University of Lisbon*

e-mail: rui.santos@ipleiria.pt

Abstract

In some cases, the estimators obtained in compound tests have better features than the traditional ones, obtained from individual tests, cf. Sobel and Elashoff (1975), Garner *et al.* (1989) and Loyer (1983). The bias, the efficiency and the robustness of these estimators are investigated in several papers, e.g. Chen and Swallow (1990), Hung and Swallow (1999) and Lancaster and Keller-McNulty (1998). Thus, the use of estimators based on compound tests not only allows a substantial saving of costs, but they also can (in some situations) be more accurate than the estimators based on the individual tests.

Nevertheless, each laboratory produces estimates for the prevalence rate of a given infection using different methodologies, such as halving nested

procedures (Sobel and Elashoff, 1975) and square array testing (Kim *et al.*, 2007). The logistic regression or the weighted least squares regression can be used in order to combine different prevalence rate estimates (Chen and Swallow, 1990). In this work some meta-analytical techniques are proposed as an alternative approach. This methodology has the advantage of being quite simple and flexible to account for the error source.

Keywords: compound tests, estimation of prevalence, meta-analysis, sensitivity, specificity.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 62F10, 62P10.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Anscombe, *The transformation of poisson, binomial and negative-binomial data*, Biometrika **35** (1948) 246–254.
- [2] T. Berger, J.W. Mandell and P. Subrahmanya, *Maximally efficient two-stage screening*, Biometrics **56** (2000) 833–840. doi:10.1111/j.0006-341X.2000.00833.x
- [3] B.J. Biggerstaff and R.L. Tweedie, *Incorporating Variability in Estimates of Heterogeneity in the Random Effects Model in Meta-analysis*, Stat. Med. **16** (1997) 753–768. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0258(19970415)16:7<753::AID-SIM494>3.0.CO;2-G
- [4] C.R. Bilder, B. Zang, F. Schaarschmidt and J.M. Tebbs, *binGroup: a package for group testing*, The R Journal **2** (2010) 56–60.
- [5] C.L. Chen and W.H. Swallow, *Using group testing to estimate a proportion, and to test the binomial model*, Biometrics **46** (1990) 1035–1046. doi:10.2307/2532446
- [6] W.G. Cochran, *The combination of estimates from different experiments*, Biometrics **10** (1954) 101–129. doi:10.2307/3001666
- [7] R. DerSimonian and N. Laird, *Meta-analysis in clinical trials*, Control. Clin. Trials **7** (1986) 177–178. doi:10.1016/0197-2456(86)90046-2
- [8] R. Dorfman, *The detection of defective members in large populations*, Ann. Math. Statistics **14** (1943) 436–440. doi:10.1214/aoms/1177731363
- [9] H.M. Finuncan, *The blood testing problem*, Appl. Stat. **13** (1964) 43–50. doi:10.2307/2985222
- [10] F.C. Garner, M.A. Stapanian, E.A. Yfantis and L.R. Williams, *Probability Estimation With Sample Compositing Techniques*, Journal of Official Statistics **5** (1989) 365–374.
- [11] J. Hartung, G. Knapp and B.K. Sinha, Statistical Meta-Analysis with Applications (John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, 2008). doi:10.1002/9780470386347
- [12] P. Holland, *Covariance stabilizing transformations*, Ann. Stat. **14** (1973) 84–92. doi:10.1214/aos/1193342384

- [13] J.M. Hughes-Oliver, *Pooling experiments for blood screening and drug discovery*, in: Screening — Methods for Experimentation in Industry, Drug Discovery, and Genetics, Dean and Lewis (Ed(s)), (New York: Springer, 2006) 48–68.
- [14] M. Hung and W.H. Swallow, *Robustness of Group Testing in the Estimation of Proportions*, Biometrika **55** (1999) 231–237. doi:10.1111/j.0006-341X.1999.00231.x
- [15] F.K. Hwang, *Group testing with a dilution effect*, Biometrika **63** (1976) 671–673. doi:10.1093/biomet/63.3.671
- [16] N. Johnson, S. Kotz and X. Wu, Inspection Errors for Attributes in Quality Control (Chapman and Hall Ltd., New York, 1991).
- [17] N. Johnson, S. Kotz and N. Balakrishnan, Continuous Univariate Distributions, Vol. 2 (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1993).
- [18] H. Kim, M. Hudgens, J. Dreyfuss, D. Westreich and C. Pilcher, *Comparison of group testing algorithms for case identification in the presence of testing errors*, Biometrics **63** (2007) 1152–1163. doi:10.1111/j.1541-0420.2007.00817.x
- [19] E. Kulinskaya, S. Morgenthaler and R.G. Staudte, Meta Analysis: a guide to calibrating and combining statistical evidence (Wiley, Chichester, 2008).
- [20] V.A. Lancaster and S. Keller-McNulty, *A Review of Composite Sampling Methods*, JASA **93** (1998) 1216–1230. doi:10.1080/01621459.1998.10473781
- [21] S.C. Liu, K.S. Chiang, C.H. Lin, W.C. Chung, S.H. Lin and T.C. Yang, *Cost analysis in choosing group size when group testing for Potato virus Y in the presence of classification errors*, Ann. Appl. Biol. **159** (2011) 491–502. doi:10.1111/j.1744-7348.2011.00513.x
- [22] M.W. Loyer, *Bad probability, good statistics, and group testing for binomial estimation*, Am. Stat. **37** (1983) 57–59. doi:10.1080/00031305.1983.10483091
- [23] A.L. Rukhin, B.J. Biggerstaff and M.G. Vangel, *Restricted maximum likelihood estimation of a common mean and the Mandel-Paul algorithm*, J. Stat. Plan. Infer. **83** (2000) 319–330. doi:10.1016/S0378-3758(99)00098-1
- [24] R. Santos, D. Pestana and J.P. Martins, *Extensions of Dorfman's theory*, in: Selected Papers of SPE 2010, Portuguese Statistical Society (Ed(s)), (New York: Springer, 2012) in print.
- [25] K.M. Sobel and R.M. Elashoff, *Group testing with a new goal, estimation*, Biometrika **62** (1975) 181–193. doi:10.1093/biomet/62.1.181
- [26] A. Sterret, *On the detection of defective members of large populations*, Ann. Math. Statistics **28** (1957) 1033–1036. doi:10.1214/aoms/1177706807
- [27] L.M. Wein and S.A. Zenios, *Pooled testing for HIV screening: capturing the dilution effect*, Oper. Res. **44** (1996) 543–569. doi:10.1287/opre.44.4.543
- [28] M. Xie, K. Tatsuoka, J. Sacks and S.S. Young, *Group testing with blockers and synergism*, JASA **96** (2001) 92–102. doi:10.1198/016214501750333009

- [29] S.A. Zenios and L.M. Wein, *Pooled testing for HIV prevalence estimation exploiting the dilution effect*, Stat. Med. **17** (1998) 1447–1467.
doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0258(19980715)17:13<1447::AID-SIM862>3.0.CO;2-K

Received 16 March 2013

Revised 12 October 2013