

## HAMILTONIAN CYCLE PROBLEM IN STRONG $k$ -QUASI-TRANSITIVE DIGRAPHS WITH LARGE DIAMETER

RUIXIA WANG

*School of Mathematical Sciences*  
*Shanxi University*  
*Taiyuan, Shanxi, 030006, P.R. China*  
**e-mail:** wangrx@sxu.edu.cn

### Abstract

Let  $k$  be an integer with  $k \geq 2$ . A digraph is  $k$ -quasi-transitive, if for any path  $x_0x_1 \dots x_k$  of length  $k$ ,  $x_0$  and  $x_k$  are adjacent. Let  $D$  be a strong  $k$ -quasi-transitive digraph with even  $k \geq 4$  and diameter at least  $k + 2$ . It has been shown that  $D$  has a Hamiltonian path. However, the Hamiltonian cycle problem in  $D$  is still open. In this paper, we shall show that  $D$  may contain no Hamiltonian cycle with  $k \geq 6$  and give the sufficient condition for  $D$  to be Hamiltonian.

**Keywords:** quasi-transitive digraph,  $k$ -quasi-transitive digraph, Hamiltonian cycle.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C20.

### 1. TERMINOLOGY AND INTRODUCTION

We shall assume that the reader is familiar with the standard terminology on digraphs and refer the reader to [1] for terminology not defined here. We only consider finite digraphs without loops or multiple arcs. Let  $D$  be a digraph with vertex set  $V(D)$  and arc set  $A(D)$ . For any  $x, y \in V(D)$ , we will write  $x \rightarrow y$  if  $xy \in A(D)$ , and also, we will write  $\overline{xy}$  if  $x \rightarrow y$  or  $y \rightarrow x$ . For disjoint subsets  $X$  and  $Y$  of  $V(D)$ ,  $X \rightarrow Y$  means that every vertex of  $X$  dominates every vertex of  $Y$ ,  $X \Rightarrow Y$  means that there is no arc from  $Y$  to  $X$  and  $X \mapsto Y$  means that both of  $X \rightarrow Y$  and  $X \Rightarrow Y$  hold. For subsets  $X, Y$  of  $V(D)$ , we define  $(X, Y) = \{xy \in A(D) : x \in X, y \in Y\}$ . If  $X = \{x\}$ , then we write  $(x, Y)$  instead of  $(\{x\}, Y)$ . Likewise, if  $Y = \{y\}$ , then we write  $(X, y)$  instead of  $(X, \{y\})$ . Let

$D'$  be a subdigraph of  $D$  and  $x \in V(D) \setminus V(D')$ . We say that  $x$  and  $D'$  are adjacent if  $x$  and some vertex of  $D'$  are adjacent. For  $S \subseteq V(D)$ , we denote by  $D[S]$  the subdigraph of  $D$  induced by the vertex set  $S$ .

Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two vertices of  $V(D)$ . The distance from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $D$ , denoted  $d(x, y)$ , is the minimum length of an  $(x, y)$ -path, if  $y$  is reachable from  $x$ , and otherwise  $d(x, y) = \infty$ . The distance from a set  $X$  to a set  $Y$  of vertices in  $D$  is  $d(X, Y) = \max\{d(x, y) : x \in X, y \in Y\}$ . The diameter of  $D$  is  $\text{diam}(D) = d(V(D), V(D))$ . Clearly,  $D$  has finite diameter if and only if it is strong.

Let  $P = v_1v_2 \cdots v_p$  be a path or a cycle of  $D$ . For  $i \neq j$ ,  $v_i, v_j \in V(P)$  we denote by  $P[v_i, v_j]$  the subpath of  $P$  from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$ . Let  $Q = u_1u_2 \cdots u_q$  be a vertex-disjoint path or cycle with  $P$  in  $D$ . If there exist  $v_i \in V(P)$  and  $u_j \in V(Q)$  such that  $v_iu_j \in A(D)$ , then we will use  $P[v_1, v_i]Q[u_j, u_q]$  to denote the path  $v_1v_2 \cdots v_iu_ju_{j+1} \cdots u_q$ .

A digraph is quasi-transitive, if for any path  $x_0x_1x_2$  of length 2,  $x_0$  and  $x_2$  are adjacent. The concept of  $k$ -quasi-transitive digraphs was introduced in [2] as a generalization of quasi-transitive digraphs. A digraph is  $k$ -quasi-transitive, if for any path  $x_0x_1 \cdots x_k$  of length  $k$ ,  $x_0$  and  $x_k$  are adjacent. The  $k$ -quasi-transitive digraphs have been studied in [2–7].

In [7], Wang and Zhang showed that a strong  $k$ -quasi-transitive digraph  $D$  with even  $k \geq 4$  and  $\text{diam}(D) \geq k + 2$  has a Hamiltonian path and proposed the following problem. Let  $k$  be an even integer with  $k \geq 4$ . Is it true that every strong  $k$ -quasi-transitive digraph with diameter at least  $k + 2$  is Hamiltonian?

In this paper, we shall show that  $D$  may contain no Hamiltonian cycle with  $k \geq 6$  and give the sufficient condition for it to be Hamiltonian.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

For the rest of this paper, let  $k$  be an even integer with  $k \geq 4$  and  $D$  denote a strong  $k$ -quasi-transitive digraph with  $\text{diam}(D) \geq k + 2$ . There exist two vertices  $u, v$  such that  $d(u, v) = k + 2$  in  $D$ . Let  $P = x_0x_1 \cdots x_{k+2}$  denote a shortest  $(u, v)$ -path in  $D$ , where  $u = x_0$  and  $v = x_{k+2}$ .

**Theorem 1** [7]. *The subdigraph induced by  $V(P)$  is a semicomplete digraph and  $x_j \rightarrow x_i$  for  $1 \leq i + 1 < j \leq k + 2$ .*

**Lemma 2** [5]. *Let  $k$  be an integer with  $k \geq 2$  and  $D$  be a strong  $k$ -quasi-transitive digraph. Suppose that  $C = x_0x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}x_0$  is a cycle of length  $n$  with  $n \geq k$  in  $D$ . Then for any  $x \in V(D) \setminus V(C)$ ,  $x$  and  $C$  are adjacent.*

By Theorem 1,  $x_{k+2} \rightarrow x_0$ . So  $x_0x_1 \cdots x_{k+2}x_0$  is a cycle of length  $k + 3$ . By Lemma 2, every vertex of  $V(D) \setminus V(P)$  is adjacent to  $P$ . Hence we can divide

$V(D) \setminus V(P)$  into three subsets:

$$O = \{x \in V(D) \setminus V(P) : V(P) \Rightarrow x\},$$

$$I = \{x \in V(D) \setminus V(P) : x \Rightarrow V(P)\},$$

and

$$B = V(D) \setminus (V(P) \cup O \cup I).$$

One of  $I, O$  and  $B$  may be empty.

**Theorem 3** [7]. *The subdigraph induced by  $V(D) \setminus V(P)$  is a semicomplete digraph.*

**Lemma 4** [7]. *For any  $x \in B$ , either  $x$  is adjacent to every vertex of  $V(P)$  or  $\{x_{k+2}, x_{k+1}, x_k, x_{k-1}\} \mapsto x \mapsto \{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ . In particular, if  $k = 4$ , then  $x$  is adjacent to every vertex of  $V(P)$ .*

From the proof of Lemma 2.11 in [7], we have the following result.

**Lemma 5** [7].  $V(P) \mapsto O$  and  $I \mapsto V(P)$ .

By Theorems 1 and 3 and Lemmas 4 and 5, a strong 4-quasi-transitive digraph  $D$  with  $\text{diam}(D) \geq 6$  is in fact a semicomplete digraph. It is well known that a strong semicomplete digraph is Hamiltonian. Hence, for the rest of this paper, we consider the case  $k \geq 6$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $H$  be a digraph and  $u, v \in V(H)$  such that  $d(u, v) = n$  with  $n \geq 4$ . Let  $Q = x_0x_1 \cdots x_n$  be a shortest  $(u, v)$ -path in  $H$ . If  $H[V(Q)]$  is a semicomplete digraph, then, for any  $x_i, x_j \in V(Q)$  with  $0 \leq i < j \leq n$ , there exists a path of length  $p$  from  $x_j$  to  $x_i$  with  $p \in \{2, 3, \dots, n-1\}$  in  $H[V(Q)]$ .*

**Proof.** We prove the result by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 4$ , it is not difficult to check that the result is true. Suppose  $n \geq 5$ . Assume  $j - i = n$ . It must be  $j = n$  and  $i = 0$ . Then the length of the path  $x_n P[x_2, x_p] x_0$  is  $p$  with  $p \in \{2, 3, \dots, n-1\}$ . Now assume  $1 \leq j - i \leq n-1$ . Then  $x_i, x_j \in \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$  or  $x_i, x_j \in \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $x_i, x_j \in \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ . By induction, there exists a path of length  $p$  from  $x_j$  to  $x_i$  with  $p \in \{2, 3, \dots, n-2\}$ . Now we only need to show that there exists a path of length  $n-1$  from  $x_j$  to  $x_i$ . If  $j - i = 1$ , then  $P[x_j, x_{n-1}]P[x_0, x_i]$  is the desired path. If  $j - i = 2$ , then  $P[x_j, x_n]P[x_0, x_i]$  is the desired path. If  $3 \leq j - i \leq n-1$ , then  $P[x_j, x_n]P[x_{i+2}, x_{j-1}]P[x_0, x_i]$  is the desired path. ■

By Lemma 6, we can obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.** *For any  $x \in V(D) \setminus V(P)$  and  $x_i \in V(P)$ , if  $x \rightarrow x_i$ , then  $x$  and every vertex of  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}\}$  are adjacent; if  $x_i \rightarrow x$ , then  $x$  and every vertex of  $\{x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_{k+2}\}$  are adjacent.*

**Proof.** If  $x \rightarrow x_i$ , then for any  $x_j \in \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}\}$ , by Lemma 6, there exists a path  $Q$  of length  $k-1$  from  $x_i$  to  $x_j$ . Then the path  $xQ$  implies  $\overline{xx_j}$ . If  $x_i \rightarrow x$ , then for any  $x_j \in \{x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_{k+2}\}$ , by Lemma 6, there exists a path  $R$  of length  $k-1$  from  $x_j$  to  $x_i$ . Then the path  $Rx$  implies  $\overline{xx_j}$ . ■

Using Lemma 7, Lemma 4 can be improved to the following result.

**Lemma 8.** *For any  $x \in B$ , either  $x$  and every vertex of  $V(P)$  are adjacent or there exist two vertices  $x_t, x_s \in V(P)$  with  $4 \leq t+1 < s \leq k-1$  such that  $\{x_s, \dots, x_{k+2}\} \mapsto x \mapsto \{x_0, \dots, x_t\}$ .*

**Proof.** If  $x$  and every vertex of  $V(P)$  are adjacent, then we are done. Suppose not. By the definition of  $B$ ,  $(x, V(P)) \neq \emptyset$  and  $(V(P), x) \neq \emptyset$ . Take  $t = \max\{i : x \rightarrow x_i\}$  and  $s = \min\{j : x_j \rightarrow x\}$ . By Lemma 7,  $x$  and every vertex  $\{x_0, \dots, x_t\} \cup \{x_s, \dots, x_{k+2}\}$  are adjacent. Moreover, since  $x$  and some vertex of  $V(P)$  are not adjacent, we can conclude  $s > t+1$  and  $\{x_s, \dots, x_{k+2}\} \mapsto x \mapsto \{x_0, \dots, x_t\}$ . By Lemma 4,  $t \geq 3$  and  $s \leq k-1$ . ■

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $Q = z_0 z_1 \dots z_n$  be a path of length  $n$  with  $1 \leq n \leq k-1$  in  $D - V(P)$ . For some  $x_i \in V(P)$ , if  $z_n \rightarrow x_i$ , then  $z_0$  and  $x_{i+(k-n-1)}$  are adjacent; if  $x_i \rightarrow z_0$ , then  $z_n$  and  $x_{i-(k-n-1)}$  are adjacent, where the subscripts are taken modulo  $k+3$ .*

**Proof.** Using the definition of  $k$ -quasi-transitive digraphs, the proof is easy and so we omit it. ■

According to Lemma 8, we can divide  $B$  into two subsets. Let  $B_1 = \{x \in B : x \text{ and some vertex of } V(P) \text{ are not adjacent}\}$  and  $B_2 = \{x \in B : x \text{ and every vertex of } V(P) \text{ are adjacent}\}$ . Now we consider the arcs among  $I, O, B_1$  and  $B_2$ . First we show  $I \mapsto O$ . Let  $x \in I$  and  $y \in O$  be arbitrary. By Lemma 5,  $x \mapsto V(P)$  and  $V(P) \mapsto y$ . If  $y \rightarrow x$ , then the path  $x_0 y x x_{k+2}$  contradicts  $d(x_0, x_{k+2}) = k+2 \geq 8$ . Thus  $I \mapsto O$ . Let  $z \in B_1$  be arbitrary. By the definition of  $B_1$ ,  $z$  and some vertex of  $V(P)$  are not adjacent, say  $x_{n_0}$ . By Lemma 8,  $3 < n_0 < k-1$ . It is not difficult to see that  $I \mapsto B_1$  and  $B_1 \mapsto O$ , otherwise, by Lemma 9,  $I \mapsto V(P)$  and  $V(P) \mapsto O$ ,  $z$  and every vertex of  $V(P)$  are adjacent. Since  $D$  is strong,  $(B_2, I) \neq \emptyset$  and  $(O, B_2) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $B'_2 = \{u \in B_2 : (u, I) \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $B''_2 = \{v \in B_2 : (O, v) \neq \emptyset\}$ . Let  $u \in B'_2$  and  $v \in B''_2$  be two arbitrary vertices. By the definition of  $B'_2$ , there exist  $x_i \in V(P)$  and  $x' \in I$  such that  $x_i \rightarrow u \rightarrow x'$ . Then the path  $x_i u x' x_{k+2}$  implies that  $d(x_i, x_{k+2}) \leq 3$ . Hence  $i \geq k-1$ , which means  $u \mapsto \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}\}$ . By the definition of  $B''_2$ , there

exist  $x_j \in V(P)$  and  $y' \in O$  such that  $y' \rightarrow v \rightarrow x_j$ . Then the path  $x_0y'vx_j$  implies that  $d(x_0, x_j) \leq 3$ . Hence  $j \leq 3$ , which means  $\{x_4, x_5, \dots, x_{k+2}\} \mapsto v$ . Note that  $k - 2 \geq 4$ . Thus  $u \neq v$ , which implies  $B'_2 \cap B''_2 = \emptyset$ . Hence  $B'_2 \mapsto O$  and  $I \mapsto B''_2$ . If  $z \rightarrow u$ , then considering the path  $zux'$ , by Lemma 9,  $z$  and every vertex of  $V(P)$  are adjacent, a contradiction. Hence  $B'_2 \mapsto B_1$ . If  $v \rightarrow z$ , then considering the path  $y'vz$ , by Lemma 9,  $z$  and every vertex of  $V(P)$  are adjacent, a contradiction. Hence  $B_1 \mapsto B''_2$ . If  $k = 6$ , denote the path  $R = x_{k+2}x_{n_0}$ . If  $k \geq 7$ , by Lemma 6, there exists a path of length  $k - 5$  from  $x_{k+2}$  to  $x_{n_0}$ , denote it by  $R$ . If  $v \rightarrow u$ , then  $zy'vux'R$  implies  $\overline{zx_{n_0}}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $B'_2 \mapsto B''_2$ .

**Theorem 10.** *If  $D - V(P)$  is strong, then  $D$  is Hamiltonian.*

**Proof.** By Lemma 3,  $D - V(P)$  is a semicomplete digraph. Hence  $D - V(P)$  contains a Hamiltonian cycle, denote it by  $H = y_0y_1 \cdots y_my_0$ . Clearly, if there exists a pair of arcs  $x_ix_{i+1} \in A(P)$  and  $y_jy_{j+1} \in A(H)$  such that  $x_i \rightarrow y_{j+1}$  and  $y_j \rightarrow x_{i+1}$ , then  $D$  contains a Hamiltonian cycle  $x_iH[y_{j+1}, y_j]P[x_{i+1}, x_i]$ . Next we shall find out such a pair of arcs. Suppose  $O \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $D$  is strong,  $B \cup I \neq \emptyset$  and there exists  $y_j \in V(H)$  such that  $y_j \in B \cup I$  and  $y_{j+1} \in O$ . There exists  $x_i \in V(P)$  such that  $y_j \rightarrow x_i$ . Then  $y_jy_{j+1}$  and  $x_{i-1}x_i$  are the desired arcs. Now assume  $O = \emptyset$ . Analogously, assume  $I = \emptyset$  and so  $V(D) \setminus V(P) = B$ . If  $B_1 = \emptyset$ , then  $D$  is semicomplete and so  $D$  is Hamiltonian. Now assume that  $B_1 \neq \emptyset$ . If  $|V(H)| = 1$ , then  $y_0 \in B_1$  and  $x_{k+2}y_0x_0x_1 \cdots x_{k+2}$  is a Hamiltonian cycle of  $D$ . Assume  $|V(H)| \geq 2$ . If there exist two consecutive vertices  $y_j, y_{j+1} \in B_1$ , then  $y_jy_{j+1}$  and  $x_{k+2}x_0$  are the desired arcs. Assume there is no such a pair of arcs. So there exists a pair of vertices  $y_j, y_{j+1} \in V(H)$  such that  $y_j \in B_2$  and  $y_{j+1} \in B_1$ . If  $y_j \rightarrow x_0$ , then  $y_jy_{j+1}$  and  $x_{k+2}x_0$  are the desired arcs. Assume  $x_0 \mapsto y_j$ . If  $|V(H)| = 2$ , then  $x_0y_jy_{j+1}x_1x_2 \cdots x_{k+2}x_0$  is a Hamiltonian cycle of  $D$ . Assume  $|V(H)| \geq 3$ . According to the above argument,  $y_{j+2} \in B_2$ . If  $y_{j+2} \rightarrow x_{k+2}$ , then  $x_0y_jy_{j+1}y_{j+2}x_{k+2}$  is a path of length 4 from  $x_0$  to  $x_{k+2}$ , a contradiction to  $d(x_0, x_{k+2}) \geq 8$ . Thus  $x_{k+2} \rightarrow y_{i+2}$ . Then  $y_{j+1}y_{j+2}$  and  $x_{k+2}x_0$  are the desired arcs. ■

**Theorem 11.** *If  $B_2 = \emptyset$  or for any  $x \in B_2$ ,  $x_{k+2} \rightarrow x \rightarrow x_0$ , then  $D$  is Hamiltonian.*

**Proof.** If  $D - V(P)$  is strong, then, by Theorem 10, we are done. If  $D - V(P)$  is not strong, then let  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_t$  be strong components of  $D - V(P)$ , where  $t \geq 2$ . Since  $D$  is strong, there exist  $x \in V(D_1)$  and  $y \in V(D_t)$  such that  $(V(P), x) \neq \emptyset$  and  $(y, V(P)) \neq \emptyset$ . By the hypothesis of this theorem and Lemmas 4 and 5,  $x_{k+2} \rightarrow x$  and  $y \rightarrow x_0$ . It is easy to see that there exists a Hamiltonian path  $R$  from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $D - V(P)$ . So  $x_{k+2}Rx_0x_1 \cdots x_{k+2}$  is a Hamiltonian cycle of  $D$ . ■

Suppose  $D - V(P)$  is not strong and there exists a vertex  $u \in B_2$  such that  $u \mapsto x_{k+2}$ , we may construct some  $k$ -quasi-transitive digraphs such that they are not Hamiltonian. For example, let  $V(D) \setminus V(P) = \{u, v\}$  and  $u \rightarrow v$ ,  $\{x_{k-1}, x_k, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}\} \rightarrow v \rightarrow \{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  and  $x_{k+1} \rightarrow u \rightarrow \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+2}\}$ . It is not difficult to see that  $D$  contains no Hamiltonian cycle.

### Acknowledgement

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation for Young Scientists of China (11401354) (11501490) (11501341).

### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin, *Digraphs: Theory, Algorithms and Applications* (Springer-Verlag, London, 2000).
- [2] C. Hernández-Cruz and H. Galeana-Sánchez, *k-kernels in k-transitive and k-quasi-transitive digraphs*, *Discrete Math.* **312** (2012) 2522–2530.  
doi:10.1016/j.disc.2012.05.005
- [3] H. Galeana-Sánchez, I.A. Goldfeder and I. Urrutia, *On the structure of strong 3-quasi-transitive digraphs*, *Discrete Math.* **310** (2010) 2495–2498.  
doi:10.1016/j.disc.2010.06.008
- [4] H. Galeana-Sánchez, C. Hernández-Cruz and M.A. Juárez-Camacho, *On the existence and number of (k+1)-kings in k-quasi-transitive digraphs*, *Discrete Math.* **313** (2013) 2582–2591.  
doi:10.1016/j.disc.2013.08.007
- [5] R. Wang and W. Meng, *k-kings in k-quasitransitive digraphs*, *J. Graph Theory* **79** (2015) 55–62.  
doi:10.1002/jgt.21814
- [6] R. Wang, *(k+1)-kernels and the number of k-kings in k-quasi-transitive digraphs*, *Discrete Math.* **338** (2015) 114–121.  
doi:10.1016/j.disc.2014.08.009
- [7] R. Wang and H. Zhang, *Hamiltonian paths in k-quasi-transitive digraphs*, *Discrete Math.* **339** (2016) 2094–2099.  
doi:10.1016/j.disc.2016.02.020

Received 9 May 2018  
Accepted 5 November 2018