

**THE LATTICE OF SUBVARIETIES  
OF THE BIREGULARIZATION OF THE VARIETY  
OF BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS**

JERZY PŁONKA

*Mathematical Institute of the Polish Academy of Sciences*  
*Kopernika 18, 51–617 Wrocław, Poland*

**e-mail:** jersabi@wp.pl

**Abstract**

Let  $\tau : F \rightarrow N$  be a type of algebras, where  $F$  is a set of fundamental operation symbols and  $N$  is the set of all positive integers. An identity  $\varphi \approx \psi$  is called *biregular* if it has the same variables in each of its sides and it has the same fundamental operation symbols in each of its sides. For a variety  $V$  of type  $\tau$  we denote by  $V_b$  the *biregularization* of  $V$ , i.e. the variety of type  $\tau$  defined by all biregular identities from  $Id(V)$ .

Let  $B$  be the variety of Boolean algebras of type  $\tau_b : \{+, \cdot, '\} \rightarrow N$ , where  $\tau_b(+) = \tau_b(\cdot) = 2$  and  $\tau_b(') = 1$ . In this paper we characterize the lattice  $\mathcal{L}(B_b)$  of all subvarieties of the biregularization of the variety  $B$ .

**Keywords:** subdirectly irreducible algebra, lattice of subvarieties, Boolean algebra, biregular identity.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 08B15.

0. PRELIMINARIES

We shall consider algebras of type  $\tau : F \rightarrow N$ , where  $F$  is the set of all fundamental operation symbols and  $N$  is the set of all positive integers (see [3]). If  $\varphi$  is a term of type  $\tau$  we denote by  $Var(\varphi)$  the set of all variables occurring in  $\varphi$  and by  $F(\varphi)$  – the set of fundamental operation symbols occurring in  $\varphi$ . Writing  $\varphi(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n})$  instead of  $\varphi$  we shall mean that  $Var(\varphi) = \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}\}$ . An identity  $\varphi \approx \psi$  of type  $\tau$  is called *regular* (see

[8]) if  $\text{Var}(\varphi) = \text{Var}(\psi)$ . An identity  $\varphi \approx \psi$  is called *biregular* if it is regular and  $F(\varphi) = F(\psi)$ . Regular identities and constructions connected with them were considered in [4]–[6], [8], [9], [16] and biregular identities were considered in [10]–[12], [14], [15], [18].

For a variety  $V$  of type  $\tau$  we denote by  $\text{Id}(V)$  the set of all identities of type  $\tau$  satisfied in every algebra from  $V$ . For a variety  $V$  of type  $\tau$  we denote by  $V_r$  the variety of type  $\tau$  defined by all regular identities from  $\text{Id}(V)$  and we denote by  $V_b$  the variety of type  $\tau$  defined by the set  $B(V)$  of all biregular identities from  $\text{Id}(V)$ . Obviously  $B(V)$  is always an equational theory, so  $\text{Id}(V_b) = B(V)$ . The variety  $V_b$  is called the biregularization of  $V$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(V)$  the lattice of all subvarieties of  $V$ . Studying identities of some special structural forms is useful for examining lattices of subvarieties. Let  $B$  be the variety of Boolean algebras of type  $\tau_b : \{+, \cdot, '\} \rightarrow N$ , where  $\tau_b(+) = \tau_b(\cdot) = 2$  and  $\tau_b(') = 1$ . In this paper we describe the lattice  $\mathcal{L}(B_b)$ .

Recall that an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is subdirectly irreducible if its lattice of congruences has exactly one atom (see [7]). If an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is subdirectly irreducible, we shall write shortly  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an s.i. algebra. The notation  $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}'$  will stand for “ $\mathfrak{A}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}'$ ”.

### 1. SUBDIRECTLY IRREDUCIBLE ALGEBRAS IN $B_b$

Let us consider the following 14 algebras of type  $\tau_b$ .

$$\mathfrak{A}_1 = (\{a_1, b_1\}; +, \cdot, '), \quad \text{where } \begin{aligned} x + y &= \begin{cases} b_1, & \text{if } b_1 \in \{x, y\}, \\ a_1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ x \cdot y &= \begin{cases} a_1, & \text{if } a_1 \in \{x, y\}, \\ b_1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ a'_1 &= b_1, \quad b'_1 = a_1; \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_2 = (\{a_2, b_2\}; +, \cdot, '), \quad \text{where } \begin{aligned} x + y &= \begin{cases} b_2 & \text{if } b_2 \in \{x, y\} \\ a_2 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ x \cdot y &= x' = b_2 \quad \text{for every } x, y \in \{a_2, b_2\}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_3 = (\{a_3, b_3\}; +, \cdot, '), \text{ where } x \cdot y = \begin{cases} b_3, & \text{if } b_3 \in \{x, y\}, \\ a_3 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$x + y = x' = b_3 \text{ for every } x, y \in \{a_3, b_3\};$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_4 = (\{a_4, b_4\}; +, \cdot, '), \text{ where}$$

$$x + y = x \cdot y = x' = b_4 \text{ for every } x, y \in \{a_4, b_4\};$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_5 = (\{a_5, b_5\}; +, \cdot, '), \text{ where}$$

$$x + y = x \cdot y = b_5, \quad x' = x \text{ for every } x, y \in \{a_5, b_5\};$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_6 = (\{a_6, c_6, b_6\}; +, \cdot, '), \text{ where}$$

$$x + y = x \cdot y = b_6, \text{ for every } x, y \in \{a_6, c_6, b_6\},$$

$$a'_6 = c_6, \quad c'_6 = a_6, \quad b'_6 = b_6;$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_7 = (\{a_7, b_7\}; +, \cdot, '), \text{ where}$$

$$x + y = x \cdot y = \begin{cases} b_7, & \text{if } b_7 \in \{x, y\}, \\ a_7 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$x' = x \text{ for every } x \in \{a_7, b_7\};$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_8 = (\{a_8, c_8, b_8\}; +, \cdot, '), \text{ where}$$

$$x + y = \begin{cases} b_8, & \text{if } b_8 \in \{x, y\}, \\ c_8, & \text{if } c_8 \in \{x, y\} \text{ and } b_8 \notin \{x, y\}, \\ a_8 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$x \cdot y = \begin{cases} b_8, & \text{if } b_8 \in \{x, y\}, \\ a_8, & \text{if } a_8 \in \{x, y\} \text{ and } b_8 \notin \{x, y\}, \\ c_8 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$a'_8 = c_8, \quad c'_8 = a_8, \quad b'_8 = b_8;$$

$\mathfrak{A}_9 = (\{a_9, b_9\}; +, \cdot, ')$ , where

$$x + y = x \cdot y = \begin{cases} b_9, & \text{if } b_9 \in \{x, y\}, \\ a_9 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$x' = b_9, \quad \text{for every } x \in \{a_9, b_9\};$$

$\mathfrak{A}_{10} = (\{a_{10}, c_{10}, b_{10}\}; +, \cdot, ')$ , where

$$x + y = \begin{cases} b_{10}, & \text{if } b_{10} \in \{x, y\}, \\ c_{10}, & \text{if } c_{10} \in \{x, y\} \text{ and } b_{10} \notin \{x, y\}, \\ a_{10} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$x \cdot y = \begin{cases} b_{10}, & \text{if } b_{10} \in \{x, y\}, \\ a_{10}, & \text{if } a_{10} \in \{x, y\} \text{ and } b_{10} \notin \{x, y\}, \\ c_{10} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$x' = b_{10} \quad \text{for every } x \in \{a_{10}, c_{10}, b_{10}\};$$

$\mathfrak{A}_{11} = (\{a_{11}, b_{11}\}; +, \cdot, ')$ , where

$$x + y = \begin{cases} b_{11}, & \text{if } b_{11} \in \{x, y\}, \\ a_{11} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$x \cdot y = b_{11} \quad \text{for every } x, y \in \{a_{11}, b_{11}\},$$

$$x' = x \quad \text{for every } x \in \{a_{11}, b_{11}\};$$

$\mathfrak{A}_{12} = (\{a_{12}, c_{12}, b_{12}\}; +, \cdot, ')$ , where

$$x + y = \begin{cases} b_{12}, & \text{if } b_{12} \in \{x, y\}, \\ c_{12}, & \text{if } c_{12} \in \{x, y\} \text{ and } b_{12} \notin \{x, y\}, \\ a_{12} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$x \cdot y = b_{12} \quad \text{for every } x, y \in \{a_{12}, c_{12}, b_{12}\},$$

$$a'_{12} = c_{12}, \quad c'_{12} = a_{12}, \quad b'_{12} = b_{12};$$

$\mathfrak{A}_{13} = (\{a_{13}, b_{13}\}; +, \cdot, ')$ , where

$$x + y = b_{13} \quad \text{for every } x, y \in \{a_{13}, b_{13}\},$$

$$x \cdot y = \begin{cases} b_{13}, & \text{if } b_{13} \in \{x, y\}, \\ a_{13} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$x' = x \quad \text{for every } x \in \{a_{13}, b_{13}\};$$

$\mathfrak{A}_{14} = (\{a_{14}, c_{14}, b_{14}\}; +, \cdot, ')$ , where

$$x + y = b_{14} \quad \text{for every } x, y \in \{a_{14}, c_{14}, b_{14}\},$$

$$x \cdot y = \begin{cases} b_{14}, & \text{if } b_{14} \in \{x, y\}, \\ a_{14}, & \text{if } a_{14} \in \{x, y\} \text{ and } b_{14} \notin \{x, y\}, \\ c_{14} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$a'_{14} = c_{14}, \quad c'_{14} = a_{14}, \quad b'_{14} = b_{14}.$$

It is easy to check that none two of above 14 algebras are isomorphic.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (A; +, \cdot, ')$  be an algebra of type  $\tau_b$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is subdirectly irreducible and belongs to  $B_b$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is isomorphic to one of the algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_{14}$ .*

**Proof.** For varieties  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  of the same type we denote by  $K_1 \otimes \dots \otimes K_n$  the class of all algebras isomorphic to a subdirect product of a family  $\{\mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n\}$  of algebras, where  $\mathfrak{A}_i$  runs over  $K_i$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

For  $\tilde{F} \subseteq \{+, \cdot, '\}$ , we denote by  $B_{\tilde{F}}$  the variety of type  $\tau_b$  satisfying all regular identities  $\varphi \approx \psi$  from  $Id(B)$  with  $F(\varphi) \cup F(\psi) \subseteq \tilde{F}$  and satisfying all identities of type  $\tau_b$  such that  $F(\varphi) \cap (\{+, \cdot, '\} \setminus \tilde{F}) \neq \emptyset \neq F(\psi) \cap (\{+, \cdot, '\} \setminus \tilde{F})$ . It was proved in [12], Theorem 9, that

$$(1.1) \quad B_b = B_r \otimes B_{\{+, \cdot\}} \otimes B_{\{+, '\}} \otimes B_{\{\cdot, '\}} \otimes B_{\{+\}} \otimes B_{\{\cdot\}} \otimes B_{\{'\}} \otimes B_\emptyset$$

Consequently to find all subdirectly irreducible algebras from  $B_b$  it is enough to find all s.i. algebras from the varieties of the right side of (1.1).

It was proved in [6] that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is s.i. and  $\mathfrak{A} \in B_r$  iff  $\mathfrak{A}$  is isomorphic to one of the algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_7$  or  $\mathfrak{A}_8$ . It was proved in [13] that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is s.i. and belongs to  $B_{\{+\}}$  iff  $\mathfrak{A}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}_2$ ;  $\mathfrak{A}$  is s.i. and  $\mathfrak{A} \in B_{\{\cdot\}}$  iff  $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_3$ ;  $\mathfrak{A}$  is s.i. and  $\mathfrak{A} \in B_\emptyset$  iff  $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_4$  (cf. also [2]);  $\mathfrak{A}$  is s.i. and  $\mathfrak{A} \in B_{\{'\}}$  iff  $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_5$  or  $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_6$ . It was proved in [19] (see Section 3, Examples 3.3–3.5) that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is s.i. and  $\mathfrak{A} \in B_{\{+, \cdot\}}$  iff  $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_9$  or  $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{10}$  and  $\mathfrak{A} \in B_{\{+, '\}}$  iff  $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{11}$  or  $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{12}$ ;  $\mathfrak{A}$  is s.i. and  $\mathfrak{A} \in B_{\{\cdot, '\}}$  iff  $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{13}$  or  $\mathfrak{A} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{14}$ . ■

## 2. THE LATTICE OF SUBVARIETIES OF $B_b$

Denote  $Ir(B_b) = \{\mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\}$ . For a variety  $V \subseteq B_b$  we denote  $Ir(V) = \{\mathfrak{A}_k \in Ir(B_b) : \mathfrak{A}_k \in V\}$ . Consequently, to describe the lattice  $\mathcal{L}(B_b)$  we have to find all subsets  $T$  of  $Ir(B_b)$  being of the form  $Ir(V)$  for some  $V \subseteq B_b$ . Apriory we have  $2^{14}$  possibilities. However due to the lemmas below we can essentially reduce this amount.

**Lemma 2.1.**  $\mathfrak{A}_1 \in HSP(\mathfrak{A}_8)$ .

**Proof.** Observe that the subalgebra  $(\{a_8, c_8\}; \{+, \cdot, '\}|_{\{a_8, c_8\}})$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_8$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ . ■

**Lemma 2.2.**  $\mathfrak{A}_{2n-1} \in HSP(\mathfrak{A}_{2n})$  for  $3 \leq n \leq 7$ .

**Proof.** Put  $h(a_{2n}) = h(c_{2n}) = a_{2n-1}$ ,  $h(b_{2n}) = b_{2n-1}$ . Thus  $h$  is a homomorphism. ■

**Lemma 2.3.**  $\mathfrak{A}_{2n} \in HSP(\{\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_{2n-1}\})$  for  $3 \leq n \leq 7$ .

*Proof.* In the direct product  $\mathfrak{A}_1 \times \mathfrak{A}_{2n-1}$  put  $h(\langle a_1, a_{2n-1} \rangle) = a_{2n}$ ,  
 $h(\langle b_1, a_{2n-1} \rangle) = c_{2n}$ ,  $h(\langle a_1, b_{2n-1} \rangle) = h(\langle b_1, b_{2n-1} \rangle) = b_{2n}$ . ■

**Lemma 2.4.**  $\mathfrak{A}_2 \in HSP(\{\mathfrak{A}_9, \mathfrak{A}_{11}\})$ .

*Proof.* In the direct product  $\mathfrak{A}_9 \times \mathfrak{A}_{11}$  put  $h(\langle a_9, a_{11} \rangle) = a_2$ ,  $h(\langle a_9, b_{11} \rangle) =$   
 $h(\langle b_9, a_{11} \rangle) = h(\langle b_9, b_{11} \rangle) = b_2$ . ■

**Lemma 2.5.**  $\mathfrak{A}_3 \in HSP(\{\mathfrak{A}_9, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\})$ .

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 2.4. ■

**Lemma 2.6.**  $\mathfrak{A}_5 \in HSP(\{\mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\})$ .

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 2.4. ■

**Lemma 2.7.**  $\mathfrak{A}_6 \in HSP(\{\mathfrak{A}_5, \mathfrak{A}_{12}\})$ .

*Proof.* In the direct product  $\mathfrak{A}_5 \times \mathfrak{A}_{12}$  put  $h(\langle a_5, a_{12} \rangle) = a_6$ ,  $h(\langle a_5, c_{12} \rangle) =$   
 $c_6$ ,  $h(\langle x, y \rangle) = b_6$  otherwise. ■

**Lemma 2.8.**  $\mathfrak{A}_6 \in HSP(\{\mathfrak{A}_5, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\})$ .

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 2.7. ■

**Lemma 2.9.**  $\mathfrak{A}_6 \in HSP(\{\mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\})$ .

*Proof.* In the direct product  $\mathfrak{A}_{11} \times \mathfrak{A}_{14}$  put  $h(\langle a_{11}, a_{14} \rangle) = a_6$ ,  $h(\langle a_{11}, c_{14} \rangle) =$   
 $c_6$  and  $h(\langle x, y \rangle) = b_6$  otherwise. ■

**Lemma 2.10.**  $\mathfrak{A}_6 \in HSP(\{\mathfrak{A}_{12}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\})$ .

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 2.9. ■

**Lemma 2.11.**  $\mathfrak{A}_4$  belongs to each of the sets  $HSP(\{\mathfrak{A}_2, \mathfrak{A}_3\})$ ,  $HSP(\{\mathfrak{A}_2, \mathfrak{A}_5\})$ ,  
 $HSP(\{\mathfrak{A}_3, \mathfrak{A}_5\})$ ,  $HSP(\{\mathfrak{A}_2, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\})$ ,  $HSP(\{\mathfrak{A}_3, \mathfrak{A}_{11}\})$ ,  $HSP(\{\mathfrak{A}_5, \mathfrak{A}_9\})$ .

*Proof.* The proof is easy and it is left to the reader. ■

A set  $T \subseteq Ir(B_b)$  will be called  $B_b$ -closed or briefly *closed* if it satisfies the following conditions (c<sub>1</sub>)–(c<sub>11</sub>):

- (c<sub>1</sub>) if  $\mathfrak{A}_8 \in T$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}_1 \in T$ ;
- (c<sub>2</sub>) if  $3 \leq n \leq 7$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_{2n} \in T$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}_{2n-1} \in T$ ;
- (c<sub>3</sub>) if  $3 \leq n \leq 7$  and  $\{\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_{2n-1}\} \subseteq T$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}_{2n} \in T$ ;
- (c<sub>4</sub>) if  $\{\mathfrak{A}_9, \mathfrak{A}_{11}\} \subseteq T$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}_2 \in T$ ;
- (c<sub>5</sub>) if  $\{\mathfrak{A}_9, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\} \subseteq T$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}_3 \in T$ ;
- (c<sub>6</sub>) if  $\{\mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\} \subseteq T$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}_5 \in T$ ;
- (c<sub>7</sub>) if  $\{\mathfrak{A}_5, \mathfrak{A}_{12}\} \subseteq T$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}_6 \in T$ ;
- (c<sub>8</sub>) if  $\{\mathfrak{A}_5, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\} \subseteq T$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}_6 \in T$ ;
- (c<sub>9</sub>) if  $\{\mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\} \subseteq T$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}_6 \in T$ ;
- (c<sub>10</sub>) if  $\{\mathfrak{A}_{12}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\} \subseteq T$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}_6 \in T$ ;
- (c<sub>11</sub>)  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \{\mathfrak{A}_2, \mathfrak{A}_3\} \subseteq T, \text{ then } \mathfrak{A}_4 \in T; \quad \text{if } \{\mathfrak{A}_2, \mathfrak{A}_5\} \subseteq T, \text{ then } \mathfrak{A}_4 \in T; \\ \text{if } \{\mathfrak{A}_3, \mathfrak{A}_5\} \subseteq T, \text{ then } \mathfrak{A}_4 \in T; \quad \text{if } \{\mathfrak{A}_2, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\} \subseteq T, \text{ then } \mathfrak{A}_4 \in T; \\ \text{if } \{\mathfrak{A}_3, \mathfrak{A}_{11}\} \subseteq T, \text{ then } \mathfrak{A}_4 \in T; \quad \text{if } \{\mathfrak{A}_5, \mathfrak{A}_9\} \subseteq T, \text{ then } \mathfrak{A}_4 \in T. \end{array} \right.$

**Lemma 2.12.** *If  $T \subseteq Ir(B_b)$ ,  $T$  is  $B_b$ -closed and  $\mathfrak{A}_k \notin T$  for some  $k \in \{1, \dots, 14\}$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}_k \notin HSP(T)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $k = 1$ . Then  $T \subseteq \{\mathfrak{A}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\}$ . By (c<sub>1</sub>)  $\mathfrak{A}_8 \notin T$ . Thus  $T \subseteq \{\mathfrak{A}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\} \setminus \{\mathfrak{A}_8\}$ . Take the identity

$$(2.1) \quad (((x+y) \cdot (x+y))')' \approx (((x \cdot y) + (x \cdot y))')$$

Then we check that (2.1) is satisfied in every algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_i$  for  $i \in \{2, \dots, 14\} \setminus \{8\}$ , so (2.1) is satisfied in  $HSP(T)$  but (2.1) is not satisfied in  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ . Consequently  $\mathfrak{A}_1 \notin HSP(T)$ .

Let  $k = 2$ . Then none of the sets  $\{\mathfrak{A}_9, \mathfrak{A}_{11}\}$ ,  $\{\mathfrak{A}_9, \mathfrak{A}_{12}\}$ ,  $\{\mathfrak{A}_{10}, \mathfrak{A}_{11}\}$ ,  $\{\mathfrak{A}_{10}, \mathfrak{A}_{12}\}$  is included in  $T$ . In fact, by (c<sub>2</sub>), if one of the sets is included in  $T$ , then  $\{\mathfrak{A}_9, \mathfrak{A}_{11}\} \subseteq T$  and by (c<sub>4</sub>)  $\mathfrak{A}_2 \in T$ , a contradiction. So, it must be



$$(2.2) \quad T \cap \{\mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{12}\} = \emptyset$$

or

$$(2.3) \quad T \cap \{\mathfrak{A}_9, \mathfrak{A}_{10}\} = \emptyset.$$

If (2.2) holds, then take the identity

$$x + x \approx (x + x) \cdot (x + x).$$

Then every algebra from  $T$  satisfies this identity, so it is satisfied in  $HSP(T)$  but  $\mathfrak{A}_2$  does not satisfy it. In case (2.3) we take the identity

$$x + x \approx ((x + x)')'.$$

Let  $k = 3$ . Then by  $(c_5)$  and  $(c_2)$  none of the sets  $\{\mathfrak{A}_9, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\}$ ,  $\{\mathfrak{A}_9, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\}$ ,  $\{\mathfrak{A}_{10}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\}$ ,  $\{\mathfrak{A}_{10}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\}$  can be included in  $T$ . If  $T \cap \{\mathfrak{A}_{13}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\} = \emptyset$ , we take the identity

$$x \cdot x \approx (x \cdot x) + (x \cdot x).$$

If  $T \cap \{\mathfrak{A}_9, \mathfrak{A}_{10}\} = \emptyset$ , we take the identity

$$((x \cdot x)')' \approx x \cdot x.$$

Let  $k = 4$ . By  $(c_2)$  and  $(c_{11})$   $T$  must be included in one of the sets:

$$\{\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_2, \mathfrak{A}_7, \mathfrak{A}_8, \mathfrak{A}_9, \mathfrak{A}_{10}, \mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{12}\}, \quad \{\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_3, \mathfrak{A}_7, \mathfrak{A}_8, \mathfrak{A}_9, \mathfrak{A}_{10}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\}, \\ \{\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_5, \mathfrak{A}_6, \mathfrak{A}_7, \mathfrak{A}_8, \mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{12}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\}.$$

We take the identities  $x + x \approx x$ ,  $x \cdot x \approx x$ ,  $(x')' \approx x$ , respectively.

Let  $k = 5$ . By  $(c_2)$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_6 \notin T$  and, by  $(c_6)$  and  $(c_2)$ , none of the sets  $\{\mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\}$ ,  $\{\mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\}$ ,  $\{\mathfrak{A}_{12}, \mathfrak{A}_{13}\}$ ,  $\{\mathfrak{A}_{12}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\}$  is included in  $T$ . If  $T \cap \{\mathfrak{A}_{13}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\} = \emptyset$ , we take

$$(x')' \approx (x')' + (x')'.$$

If  $T \cap \{\mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{12}\} = \emptyset$ , we take the identity

$$(x')' \approx (x')' \cdot (x')'.$$

Let  $k = 6$ . If  $\mathfrak{A}_5 \in T$ , then  $T \cap \{\mathfrak{A}_8, \mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_{12}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\} = \emptyset$  by  $(c_3)$ ,  $(c_1)$ ,  $(c_7)$ ,  $(c_8)$ . We take the identity  $(x')' \approx x'$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}_5 \notin T$ . If  $\mathfrak{A}_1 \in T$ , then, by  $(c_2)$ ,  $(c_3)$ ,  $(c_9)$ ,  $(c_{10})$ , it must be

$$(2.4) \quad T \cap \{\mathfrak{A}_{13}, \mathfrak{A}_{14}\} = \emptyset$$

or

$$(2.5) \quad T \cap \{\mathfrak{A}_{11}, \mathfrak{A}_{12}\} = \emptyset.$$

If (2.4) holds, we take the identity

$$(x')' \approx ((x + x)')'.$$

If (2.5) holds, we take the identity

$$(x')' \approx ((x \cdot x)')'.$$

If  $\mathfrak{A}_5 \notin T$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_1 \notin T$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}_8 \notin T$  by (c<sub>1</sub>). Then, by (c<sub>9</sub>), (c<sub>10</sub>) and (c<sub>6</sub>) we have two possibilities: (2.4), (2.5). We take the identities  $(x')' \approx ((x + x)')'$ ,  $(x')' \approx ((x \cdot x)')'$ , respectively.

Let  $k = 7$ . Then by (c<sub>2</sub>)  $\mathfrak{A}_8 \notin T$ . We take the identity

$$(2.6) \quad ((x + (x \cdot y))')' \approx ((x + (x \cdot z))')'.$$

Let  $k = 8$ . Then  $T$  does not contain both  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_7$  by (c<sub>3</sub>). If  $\mathfrak{A}_7 \notin T$ , we take the identity (2.6). If  $\mathfrak{A}_1 \notin T$  we take the identity (2.1).

Let  $k = 9$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}_{10} \notin T$  by (c<sub>2</sub>). We take

$$(2.7) \quad (((x + y) \cdot (x + y))')' \approx (x + y) \cdot (x + y).$$

Let  $k = 10$ . If  $\mathfrak{A}_9 \notin T$ , then we take the identity (2.7). If  $\mathfrak{A}_9 \in T$ , then  $\{\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_8\} \not\subseteq T$  by (c<sub>3</sub>) and (c<sub>1</sub>). We take

$$(x \cdot y) + (x \cdot y) \approx (x + y) \cdot (x + y).$$

Let  $k = 11$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}_{12} \notin T$  by (c<sub>2</sub>). We take

$$(2.8) \quad (((x + y) \cdot (x + y))')' \approx ((x + y)')'.$$

Let  $k = 12$ . If  $\mathfrak{A}_{11} \notin T$ , then we take the identity (2.8). If  $\mathfrak{A}_{11} \in T$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_8 \notin T$  by (c<sub>3</sub>) and (c<sub>1</sub>). Then we take

$$((x + y)')' \approx (x + y)'.$$

Let  $k = 13$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}_{14} \notin T$  by (c<sub>2</sub>). We take

$$(2.9) \quad (((x \cdot y) + (x \cdot y))')' \approx ((x \cdot y)')'$$

Let  $k = 14$ . If  $\mathfrak{A}_{13} \notin T$ , we take the identity (2.9). If  $\mathfrak{A}_{13} \in T$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_8 \notin T$  by (c<sub>3</sub>) and (c<sub>1</sub>). We take

$$((x \cdot y)')' \approx (x \cdot y)'. \quad \blacksquare$$

**Lemma 2.13.** *If a variety  $V$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}(B_b)$  and  $\mathfrak{A} \in V$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is isomorphic to a subdirect product of a family of subdirectly irreducible algebras belonging to  $Ir(V)$ .*

**Proof.** By Birkhoff’s Subdirect Representation Theorem (see [1]), if  $\mathfrak{A} \in V$ , then it is isomorphic to an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}'$  being a subdirect product of a family  $\{\mathfrak{A}_j\}_{j \in J}$  of subdirectly irreducible algebras from  $V$ . By Theorem 1.1, each  $\mathfrak{A}_j$  is isomorphic to an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_j^*$  from  $Ir(B_b)$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{A}_j^*$  belongs to  $V$  and belongs to  $Ir(B_b)$ , hence  $\mathfrak{A}_j^*$  belongs to  $Ir(V)$ . Consequently,  $\mathfrak{A}'$  is isomorphic to an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  being a subdirect product of the family  $\{\mathfrak{A}_j^*\}_{j \in J}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ .  $\blacksquare$

We denote by  $\mathbf{T}(B_b)$  the set of all  $B_b$ -closed sets.

**Lemma 2.14.** *We have:*

- (i) *For every variety  $V \in \mathcal{L}(B_b)$ , the set  $Ir(V)$  is  $B_b$ -closed;*
- (ii) *For every variety  $V \in \mathcal{L}(B_b)$ , we have  $V = HSP(Ir(V))$ ;*
- (iii) *If  $T \in \mathbf{T}(B_b)$ , then  $T = Ir(HSP(T))$ ;*
- (iv) *If  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{L}(B_b)$ , then  $V_1 \subseteq V_2$  iff  $Ir(V_1) \subseteq Ir(V_2)$ .*

**Proof.** (i): If  $\mathfrak{A}_8 \in Ir(V)$ , then, by Lemma 2.1, we have  $\mathfrak{A}_1 \in HSP(\mathfrak{A}_8) \subseteq HSP(Ir(V)) \subseteq V$ , but  $\mathfrak{A}_1 \in Ir(B_b)$ , so  $\mathfrak{A}_1 \in V \cap Ir(B_b) = Ir(V)$ . Consequently, the set  $Ir(V)$  satisfies (c<sub>1</sub>). Similarly, using Lemmas 2.2–2.11, we show that  $Ir(V)$  satisfies (c<sub>2</sub>)–(c<sub>11</sub>).

(ii): Since  $Ir(V) \subseteq V$ ,  $HSP(Ir(V)) \subseteq V$ . The converse inclusion follows at once from Lemma 2.13.

(iii): If an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  belongs to  $T$ , then  $\mathfrak{A} \in HSP(T)$ . But  $\mathfrak{A} \in Ir(B_b)$  since  $T \subseteq Ir(B_b)$ , so  $\mathfrak{A} \in Ir(HSP(T))$ . If  $\mathfrak{A} \notin T$ , then  $\mathfrak{A} \notin HSP(T)$  by Lemma 2.12, hence  $\mathfrak{A} \notin Ir(HSP(T))$ .

(iv): If  $V_1 \subseteq V_2$ , then  $Ir(V_1) \subseteq Ir(V_2)$  by the definition of  $Ir(V)$ . The converse implication follows at once from Lemma 2.13. ■

**Theorem 2.15.** *The set  $T \subseteq Ir(B_b)$  is equal to  $Ir(V)$  for some variety  $V \in \mathcal{L}(B_b)$  iff  $T$  is  $B_b$ -closed. There are 490  $B_b$ -closed sets.*

**Proof.** The first statement follows from Lemma 2.14 (i) and (iii).

Using a computer and transforming our considerations to indices of algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_k$  from  $Ir(B_b)$  one can find out  $|\mathbf{T}(B_b)| = 490$ . ■

**Theorem 2.16.** *The lattice  $(\mathcal{L}(B_b); \subseteq)$  as a poset is isomorphic to the poset  $(\mathbf{T}(B_b); \subseteq)$ . Therefore the lattice  $(\mathcal{L}(B_b); \subseteq)$  is isomorphic to the lattice  $(\mathbf{T}(B_b); \subseteq)$  and  $\text{card}(\mathcal{L}(B_b)) = 490$ .*

**Proof.** For  $V \in \mathcal{L}(B_b)$  put  $\varphi(V) = Ir(V)$ . Then  $\varphi$  is well defined by the definition of  $Ir(V)$  and, by Lemma 2.14 (i),  $\varphi$  maps  $\mathcal{L}(B_b)$  into  $\mathbf{T}(B_b)$ . If  $Ir(V_1) = Ir(V_2)$ , then, by Lemma 2.14 (ii),  $V_1 = HSP(Ir(V_1)) = HSP(Ir(V_2)) = V_2$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is 1-1. By Lemma 2.14 (iii),  $\varphi$  is onto. If  $V_1 \subseteq V_2$ , then  $Ir(V_1) \subseteq Ir(V_2)$  by the definition of  $Ir(V)$ . The converse inclusion follows at once from Lemma 2.13. ■

**Remark 2.17.** Results of this paper were presented on the conference “9th Workshop in Mathematics”, organized by Technical University of Zielona Góra at September 2001, in Gronów (Poland).

The statement  $\text{card}(\mathcal{L}(B_b)) = 490$  was confirmed on this conference by Peter Burmeister (Darmstadt, Germany) using his *ConImp* computer program based on the Formal Concept Analysis. For the documentation of the program see P. Burmeister, *ConImp – Ein Programm zur Formalen Begriffsanalyse* in: G. Stumme and R. Wille (Eds.), *Begriffliche Wissensverarbeitung: Methoden und Anwendungen*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2000, pp. 25–56; extended English version – *Formal Concept Analysis with ConImp: Introduction to the basic features* – one can find on the WWW-server: [http://www.mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de/ags/ag1/Software/software\\_de.html](http://www.mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de/ags/ag1/Software/software_de.html)

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Burris and H.P. Sankappanavar, *A Course in Universal Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin 1981.
- [2] I. Chajda, *Normally presented varieties*, *Algebra Universalis* **34** (1995), 327–335.

- [3] I. Chajda and K. Głazek, *A Basic Course on General Algebra*, Technical University Press, Zielona Góra 2000.
- [4] G. Grätzer, *Universal Algebra* (2nd edition), Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin 1979.
- [5] B. Jónsson and E. Nelson, *Relatively free products in regular varieties*, *Algebra Universalis* **4** (1974), 14–19.
- [6] H. Lakser, R. Padmanabhan and C.R. Platt, *Subdirect decomposition of Płonka sums*, *Duke Math. J.* **39** (1972), 485–488.
- [7] R. McKenzie, G. McNulty and W. Taylor, *Algebras, Lattices, Varieties*, vol. 1, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Advanced Books & Software, Monterey, California 1987.
- [8] J. Płonka, *On a method of construction of abstract algebras*, *Fund. Math.* **61** (1967), 183–189.
- [9] J. Płonka, *On equational classes of abstract algebras defined by regular equations*, *Fund. Math.* **64** (1969), 241–247.
- [10] J. Płonka, *Biregular and uniform identities of bisemilattices*, *Demonstratio Math.* **20** (1987), 95–107.
- [11] J. Płonka, *On varieties of algebras defined by identities of some special forms*, *Houston J. Math.* **14** (1988), 253–263.
- [12] J. Płonka, *Biregular and uniform identities of algebras*, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **40 (115)** (1990), 367–387.
- [13] J. Płonka, *Subdirect decompositions of algebras from 2-clone extension of varieties*, *Colloq. Math* **77** (1998), 189–199.
- [14] J. Płonka, *On  $n$ -clone extensions of algebras*, *Algebra Universalis* **40** (1998), 1–17.
- [15] J. Płonka, *Free algebras over biregularization of varieties*, *Acta Appl. Math.* **52** (1998), 305–313.
- [16] J. Płonka, *On sums of direct systems of Boolean algebras*, *Colloq. Math.* **20** (1969), 209–214.
- [17] J. Płonka, *Lattices of subvarieties of the clone extension of some varieties*, *Contributions to General Algebra* **11** (1999), 161–171.

- [18] J. Płonka, *Clone networks, clone extensions and biregularizations of varieties of algebras*, Algebra Colloq. **8** (2001), 327–344.
- [19] J. Płonka. and Z. Szylicka, *Subdirectly irreducible generalized sums of upper semilattice ordered systems of algebras*, Algebra Universalis (in print).

Received 24 September 2001