

## CARDINALITIES OF LATTICES OF TOPOLOGIES OF UNARS AND SOME RELATED TOPICS

ANNA KARTASHOVA

*Department of Algebra and Geometry*  
*Volgograd Pedagogical University*  
*Eletsckaya 7–177, 400120 Volgograd, Russia*  
**e-mail:** kvk@vspu.ru

### Abstract

In this paper we find cardinalities of lattices of topologies of uncountable unars and show that the lattice of topologies of a unar cannot be countably infinite. It is proved that under some finiteness conditions the lattice of topologies of a unar is finite. Furthermore, the relations between the lattice of topologies of an arbitrary unar and its congruence lattice are established.

**Keywords:** unar, lattice of topologies, lattice of congruences.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 08A60, 22A30, 08A30.

Let  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, \Omega \rangle$  be an arbitrary algebra. A topology on the set  $A$ , under which every operation from  $\Omega$  is continuous is called a *topology on the algebra*  $\mathfrak{A}$ . It is known [5] (p. 69) that the topologies on an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  form a lattice under set inclusion. Let us call this lattice the *lattice of topologies* of the algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Denote this lattice by  $\mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

Let now  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, f \rangle$  be a unar, i. e. an algebra with one unary operation  $f$  (see [6]). For any element  $a \in A$  and any positive integer  $n$  we put  $f^0(a) = a$  and  $f^n(a) = f(f^{n-1}(a))$ . Throughout the paper we shall denote by  $\mathbb{N}$  the set of all positive integers and  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

A unar generated by one element  $a$  is called *monogenic* and it is denoted by  $(a)$ . A monogenic unar with the generator  $a$  and with defining relation  $f^n(a) = f^{n+m}(a)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{N}$  is denoted by  $C_m^n$ . The unar  $C_m^0$  is termed a *cycle of length*  $m$ . An element  $a$  of the unar  $\mathfrak{A}$  is *cyclic* if the subunar generated by this element is cyclic. The set of all cyclic elements of

a unar  $\mathfrak{A}$  is denoted by  $Z(\mathfrak{A})$ . An element  $a$  of a unar  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, f \rangle$  is *periodic* if  $f^k(a) \in Z(\mathfrak{A})$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Otherwise it is called *torsion-free*. The union of a sequence of unars  $C_m^0 \subset C_m^1 \subset C_m^2 \subset \dots$  will be denoted by  $C_m^\infty$ . If  $a$  is a periodic element of a unar  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, f \rangle$ , then the least integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $f^n(a) \in Z(\mathfrak{A})$ , is the *depth* of  $a$ . It is denoted by  $d(a)$ . A unar is *periodic* if each element in  $\mathfrak{A}$  is periodic. A free monogenic unar is denoted by  $\mathcal{F}_1$ .

The disjoint union of two unars  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{C}$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{C}$ . Unars  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{C}$  are *components* of the unar  $\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{C}$ . A unar having no proper components is called *connected*. The set of all connected components of an arbitrary unar  $\mathfrak{A}$  is denoted by  $c(\mathfrak{A})$ .

**Proposition 1.** *The lattice of all topologies on the set  $c(\mathfrak{A})$  of connected components of an arbitrary unar  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, f \rangle$  is isomorphic to some principal ideal of the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ .*

**Proof.** Define binary relation  $\eta$  on the set  $A$  by setting

$$x\eta y \Leftrightarrow (\exists n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0)[f^n(x) = f^m(y)]$$

for any elements  $x, y \in A$ . It is clear that  $\eta \in \text{Con}(\mathfrak{A})$  and the factor unar  $\mathfrak{A}/\eta$  is a union of one-element cycles. Moreover the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A}/\eta)$  of topologies of the unar  $\mathfrak{A}/\eta$  coincides with the lattice of all topologies on the set  $A/\eta$ . By [2] (Theorem 3) the lattice of all topologies on the set  $c(\mathfrak{A})$  is isomorphic to a principal ideal of  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  because  $|c(\mathfrak{A})| = |\mathfrak{A}/\eta|$ . ■

Observe that

*the lattice  $\mathcal{R}(Y)$  of all topologies on a nonvoid subset  $Y$  of an arbitrary set  $X$  can be embedded into the lattice  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  of all topologies on the set  $X$  as a principal ideal.*

In fact, fix a point  $y_0 \in Y$  and define a mapping  $\psi : \mathcal{R}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(X)$  in the following way. Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}(Y)$ . Denote by  $\psi(\sigma)$  the family of subsets of the set  $X$  such that  $T \in \psi(\sigma)$  if and only if either  $T \in \sigma$  and  $y_0 \notin T$  or  $T \cap Y \in \sigma, X \setminus Y \subseteq T$  and  $y_0 \in T$ . Then  $\psi$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{R}(Y)$  onto the principal ideal of  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  generated by the topology  $\psi(\sigma_1)$ , where  $\sigma_1$  is the discrete topology on  $Y$ . ■

From Proposition 1, we can deduce

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, f \rangle$  be an arbitrary unar and  $K$  be a nonvoid subset of the set  $c(\mathfrak{A})$  of connected components of the unar  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then the lattice  $\mathcal{R}(K)$  of all topologies on the set  $K$  is isomorphic to a principal ideal of the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ .* ■

Elements  $a, b$  of an arbitrary unar  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, f \rangle$  are *incomparable* if  $a \notin (b)$  and  $b \notin (a)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, f \rangle$  be an arbitrary unar and  $A_1$  be an infinite set of pairwise non-cyclic incomparable elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then the lattice  $\mathcal{R}(A_1)$  of all topologies on the set  $A_1$  can be embedded into the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ .*

**Proof.** Denote by  $\mathfrak{B} = \langle B, f \rangle$  the subunar of unar  $\mathfrak{A}$  generated by set  $A_1$ . Define a binary relation  $\rho = \{(a, b) \in B \times B \mid a = b \vee \{a, b\} \cap A_1 = \emptyset\}$  on the set  $B$ . Certainly the relation  $\rho$  is an equivalence.

We claim that  $\rho \in \text{Con } \mathfrak{B}$ . In fact let  $a \notin A_1$ , and  $f(a) \in A_1$ . Since  $a \in B$ , there exists an element  $c \in A_1$  and an integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $a = f^n(c)$ . Hence,  $f(a) = f^{n+1}(c)$ . It follows that  $n + 1 = 0$  and  $n \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ , since  $f(a) \in A_1$  and  $c \in A_1$ . Every topology on the factor set  $B/\rho$  is a topology on the unar  $\mathfrak{B}/\rho$  because either  $f^{-1}(X) = \emptyset$  or  $f^{-1}(X) = B/\rho$  holds for any subset  $X$  of the set  $B/\rho$ . Thus applying [2] (Theorems 2 and 3) and the equality  $|A_1| = |B/\rho|$  we can conclude that the lattice  $\mathcal{R}(A_1)$  of all topologies on the set  $A_1$  can be embedded into the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ . ■

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, f \rangle$  be an arbitrary infinite unar. Then either the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{F}_1)$  or the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(C_1^\infty)$  can be embedded into the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  of all topologies of the unar  $\mathfrak{A}$ .*

**Proof.** If  $\mathfrak{A}$  contains a torsion-free element  $a$ , then  $(a) \cong \mathcal{F}_1$ , where  $(a)$  is the monogenic subunar of the unar  $\mathfrak{A}$  generated by the element  $a$ . By [2] (Theorem 3) the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{F}_1)$  can be embedded into the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

If the set  $c(\mathfrak{A})$  is infinite or the inequality  $|f^{-1}(\{a\})| \geq \aleph_0$  holds for some  $a \in A$ , then the lattice  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  of all topologies on a countable infinite set  $X$  is isomorphic to some sublattice of the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  by Lemmas 1 and 2. On the other hand,  $|\mathcal{F}_1| = \aleph_0$ . Therefore, the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{F}_1)$  of all topologies of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  can be embedded into the lattice  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  and, hence, into the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be periodic,  $|c(\mathfrak{A})| < \aleph_0$ , a set  $f^{-1}(\{a\})$  finite for any element  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Then there exists a subunar  $\mathfrak{B} = \langle B, f \rangle$  of the unar  $\mathfrak{A}$ , which is isomorphic to  $C_h^\infty$ , where  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put  $\rho = \{(a, b) \in B \times B \mid (a = b) \vee \{a, b\} \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{A})\}$ . Then  $\rho \in \text{Con } \mathfrak{B}$  and the factor unar  $\mathfrak{B}/\rho$  is isomorphic to  $C_1^\infty$ . Consequently, the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(C_1^\infty)$  of all topologies of  $C_1^\infty$  can be embedded into the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  by [2] (Theorems 2 and 3). ■

The least topology with respect to inclusion on the unary algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , containing a given family of subsets  $\{A_\alpha \subseteq A \mid \alpha \in I\}$  will be called the *topology on the algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  generated by the set of elements  $\{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in I\}$* . This topology will be denoted by  $t(\{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in I\})$  and respectively by  $t(U)$  if the family  $\{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in I\}$  consists of one set  $U$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, f \rangle$  be isomorphic to  $C_1^\infty$ . Then  $t(X_1) = t(X_2) \Rightarrow X_1 = X_2$  for any nonvoid subsets  $X_1, X_2$  of the set  $A \setminus Z(\mathfrak{A})$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $t(X_1) = t(X_2)$ , we conclude that  $X_2 \in t(X_1)$ . Hence, the set  $X_2$  is a union of finite intersections of some sets of the form  $f^{-i}(X_1)$ , where  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , because  $X_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $X_2 \subseteq A \setminus Z(\mathfrak{A}) \subsetneq A$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{A} \cong C_1^\infty$  and  $X_2 \subseteq A \setminus Z(\mathfrak{A})$ , there exists an element  $x \in X_2$  such that

$$(1) \quad (\forall k \in \mathbb{N}) [f^k(x) \notin X_2].$$

Since  $x \in X_2$  and  $X_2 \in t(X_1)$  we have  $x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} f^{-i}(X_1) \subseteq X_2$  for some finite set of indices  $I$  of the set  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . We claim that  $I = \{0\}$ . In fact, if  $x \in f^{-i}(X_1)$ , then  $f^i(x) \in X_1$ . On the other hand, since  $X_1 \in t(X_2)$ , the set  $X_1$  is a union of finite intersections of some sets of the form  $f^{-j}(X_2)$ , where  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . However, by (1), the condition  $f^{i+j}(x) \in X_2$  implies  $i+j = 0$ . Hence,  $i = 0$  and so  $I = \{0\}$ . Consequently,  $X_1 \subseteq X_2$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $X_2 \subseteq X_1$ . Thus,  $X_1 = X_2$ .  $\blacksquare$

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an arbitrary algebra and  $\theta \in \text{Con}(\mathfrak{A})$ .  $\theta$ -congruence classes form a base of some topology  $\tau(\theta)$  which we shall call the *topology generated by the congruence  $\theta$* .

**Proposition 2.** *There exists a set  $\mathcal{H}$  of the cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$  of different Hausdorff topologies on the unar  $\mathcal{F}_1$ , such that for any topology  $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}$  there exist topologies  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ , for which  $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma$  and  $\sigma \leq \sigma_2$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{F}_1$  and  $k$  be an arbitrary fixed positive integer. Put

$$(2) \quad x\zeta_k y \iff (\exists n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0)[f^n(x) = f^m(y) \ \& \ n \equiv m \pmod{k}].$$

It is not hard to see that  $\zeta_k \in \text{Con } \mathcal{F}_1$ . Let  $P(S)$  be the set of all subsets of the set  $S$  of all primes. We claim now that the mapping  $\varphi : P(S) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{F}_1)$  given by

$$(3) \quad \varphi(X) = \bigvee_{p \in X} \tau(\zeta_p), \quad X \in P(S),$$

is an injection. Let  $X_1, X_2 \subseteq S$  and  $p \in X_1 \setminus X_2$ . Denote by  $a$  the generator of the unar  $\mathcal{F}_1$ . Then  $M = \{f^n(a) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0, p \mid n\} \in \varphi(X_1)$  by (3). If  $M \in \varphi(X_2)$ , then, by (3), we obtain that  $M$  is a union of finite intersections of sets which are open in some topology  $\tau(\zeta_q)$ ,  $q \in X_2$ , the congruence  $\zeta_q$  is defined according to (2).

Let  $a \in L_{p_1} \cap L_{p_2} \cap \dots \cap L_{p_k}$  and  $L_{p_1} \cap L_{p_2} \cap \dots \cap L_{p_k} \subseteq M$ , where  $L_{p_i} \in \tau(\zeta_{p_i})$ ,  $p_i \in X_2$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Then  $f^{p_1 p_2 \dots p_k}(a) \in M$ , and  $p \mid p_1 p_2 \dots p_k$ , i.e. there exists an index  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  such that  $p = p_i \in X_2$ . Therefore,  $M \notin \varphi(X_2)$ . Thus, the inequality  $X_1 \neq X_2$  implies  $\varphi(X_1) \neq \varphi(X_2)$ .

We claim that if  $X$  is an infinite subset of the set of all primes, then  $\varphi(X)$  from (3) is a Hausdorff topology one. Let  $b, c \in \mathcal{F}_1$  and  $b \neq c$ . Then  $b = f^n(a), c = f^m(a)$ , where  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \neq n$  and  $a$  is the generator of the unar  $\mathcal{F}_1$ . Since the set  $X$  is infinite, there exists a number  $p \in X$  such that  $n < p$  and  $m < p$ . Hence  $[b]_{\zeta_p} \cap [c]_{\zeta_p} = \emptyset$ , because  $m \neq n$ . On the other hand,  $[b]_{\zeta_p}, [c]_{\zeta_p} \in \varphi(X)$  by (2) and (3). It means that  $\varphi(X)$  is a Hausdorff topology.

Thus, the set

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{H} = \{\varphi(X) \mid X \in P(S) \ \& \ |X| = |S \setminus X| = \aleph_0\}$$

consists of Hausdorff topologies and has cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$ . Let  $\varphi(X) \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then there exist prime numbers  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  such that  $p_1 \in X, p_2 \in S \setminus X$ . Consequently,  $\varphi(X \setminus \{p_1\}), \varphi(X \cup \{p_2\}) \in \mathcal{H}$  by (4). On the other hand, (3) implies  $\varphi(X \setminus \{p_1\}) \subsetneq \varphi(X) \subsetneq \varphi(X \cup \{p_2\})$ , because the map  $\varphi$  is injective.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, f \rangle$  be an arbitrary unar. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  is finite;*
2. *the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  has a finite width;*
3. *the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  satisfies the descending chain condition;*
4. *the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  satisfies the ascending chain condition.*

**Proof.** Implications  $1) \Rightarrow 2), 1) \Rightarrow 3), 1) \Rightarrow 4$  are obvious. Let the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  of topologies of  $\mathfrak{A}$  be infinite. Then  $|A| \geq \aleph_0$ . We shall show that  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  is not a lattice of finite width and satisfies neither the descending chain condition nor the ascending chain condition. By Lemma 3, it suffices to consider the cases  $\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{A} \cong C_1^\infty$ .

If  $\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathcal{F}_1$ , then  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  is not a lattice of a finite width by Theorem 1 of [2] and Theorem 4 of [1]. Furthermore, this lattice satisfies neither the descending chain condition nor the ascending chain condition by Proposition 2.

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \cong C_1^\infty$ . Put

$$(5) \quad X_i = \{a \mid a \in A \ \& \ d(a) \equiv 1 \pmod{i}\}$$

for any integer  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Fix arbitrary different primes  $i$  and  $j$ . We are going to show that the elements  $t(X_i)$  and  $t(X_j)$  of the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  are incomparable. In fact, if  $t(X_i) \leq t(X_j)$ , then  $X_i \in t(X_j)$ . It means that the set  $X_i$  is a union of finite intersections of some sets of the form  $f^{-l}(X_j)$ , where  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{A} \cong C_1^\infty$ , there exists an element  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$  of the depth 1. Then (5) implies  $a \in X_i$ . Consequently,

$$(6) \quad a \in f^{-l_1}(X_j) \cap \dots \cap f^{-l_s}(X_j)$$

and

$$(7) \quad \bigcap_{k=1}^s f^{-l_k}(X_j) \subseteq X_i$$

for some  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{l_1, \dots, l_s\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ . By (6), we have  $f^{l_k}(a) \in X_j$  for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ . From (5), we can deduce that  $l_k = 0$  for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, s\}$  and  $d(a) = 1$ . Applying (7), we have  $X_j \subseteq X_i$  a contradiction with (5), because  $i$  and  $j$  are different primes.

Thus, the inequality  $t(X_i) \leq t(X_j)$  doesn't hold. Similarly, we can prove that the inequality  $t(X_j) \leq t(X_i)$  doesn't hold either. Therefore, the elements  $t(X_i)$  and  $t(X_j)$  of the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  are incomparable for any prime different numbers  $i$  and  $j$ . Hence, if  $\mathfrak{A} \cong C_1^\infty$ , then  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  is not a lattice of a finite width.

Let  $X$  be an arbitrary subset of the set  $A \setminus Z(\mathfrak{A})$ . Then the decreasing chain  $t(X) \supset t(f^{-1}(X)) \supset t(f^{-2}(X)) \supset \dots$  of elements of  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  does not terminate by Lemma 4.

It remains to construct an infinite increasing chain of elements of the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ . Let  $X_i = \{x \mid x \in A \setminus Z(\mathfrak{A}) \ \& \ d(x) \notin \{2, \dots, i+1\}\}$ , where  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . We claim that  $X_i = X_{i+1} \cup f^{-(i+1)}(X_{i+1})$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $x \in X_i$ , then either  $x \in X_{i+1}$  or  $d(x) = i+2$ . However, the equation  $d(x) = i+2$  implies  $d(f^{i+1}(x)) = 1$ , hence  $f^{i+1}(x) \in X_{i+1}$  and  $x \in f^{-(i+1)}(X_{i+1})$ . Therefore,  $X_i \subseteq X_{i+1} \cup f^{-(i+1)}(X_{i+1})$ . Let  $x \in f^{-(i+1)}(X_{i+1})$ . Then  $f^{i+1}(x) \in X_{i+1}$ , hence either  $d(f^{i+1}(x)) = 1$  or  $d(f^{i+1}(x)) \geq i+3$ . So,  $d(x) \geq i+2$ , i. e.  $x \in X_i$ . Thus,  $X_i = X_{i+1} \cup f^{-(i+1)}(X_{i+1})$ . It means that  $X_i \in t(X_{i+1})$ .

By Lemma 4 the relation  $t(X_i) \subsetneq t(X_{i+1})$  is valid for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Finally, the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  of topologies of the unar  $\mathfrak{A}$  does not satisfy the ascending chain condition because it contains the infinite chain  $t(X_1) \subsetneq t(X_2) \subsetneq \dots \blacksquare$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, f \rangle$  be an arbitrary unar. Then it holds:*

1. *the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  isn't countably infinite;*
2. *if the set  $A$  is uncountable, then  $|\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})| = 2^{2^{|A|}}$ .*

**Proof.** The first statement of the theorem follows from Lemmas 3, and 4, and Proposition 2. Let us prove the second statement. Let  $|A| > \aleph_0$ . If  $|c(\mathfrak{A})| = |A|$ , then  $|\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})| = 2^{2^{|A|}}$  by Lemma 1 and p. 380 of [7]. Now let  $|c(\mathfrak{A})| < |A|$ . By [3], p. 315, there exists a set  $A_1$  of pairwise incomparable noncyclic elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $|A_1| = |A|$ . Hence,  $|\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})| = 2^{2^{|A|}}$  by Lemma 2 and [7] (p. 380).  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 1.** *If a unar  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, f \rangle$  is not a cycle, then  $|\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})| > |\text{Con}(\mathfrak{A})|$ .*

**Proof.** If  $|A| > \aleph_0$ , then  $|\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})| = 2^{2^{|A|}}$  by Theorem 2 and  $|\text{Con}(\mathfrak{A})| = 2^{|A|}$  by [3] (p. 312). Hence,  $|\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})| > |\text{Con}(\mathfrak{A})|$ .

Let the set  $A$  be countably infinite. If the set  $c(\mathfrak{A})$  of connected components of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is infinite or  $\mathfrak{A}$  contains some infinite set of pairwise incomparable noncyclic elements, then  $|\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})| = 2^{2^{\aleph_0}} > 2^{\aleph_0} = |\text{Con}(\mathfrak{A})|$  by Lemmas 1 and 2, and the main Theorem from [4]. Otherwise, by [4] and Theorem 2, we obtain  $|\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})| \geq 2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_0 = |\text{Con}(\mathfrak{A})|$ .

Let now the set  $A$  be finite. We claim that the mapping  $\theta \mapsto \tau(\theta)$  from  $\text{Con}(\mathfrak{A})$  into  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  is not surjective. Indeed, there exist such elements  $a, b \in A$ , that  $a \notin (b)$  because the unar  $\mathfrak{A}$  is not a cycle. Suppose that  $\rho$  is a congruence of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\tau(\rho) = t(\{a\})$ . Then  $[b]_\rho \in t(\{a\})$ . Hence,  $[b]_\rho = A$ , because  $b \notin \cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} f^{-i}(\{a\})$ . Therefore,  $\rho$  is the universal relation and the topology  $t(\{a\}) = \tau(\rho)$  is anti-discrete. However,  $\{a\} \in t(\{a\})$ .

Consequently, the mapping  $\tau$  is not surjective. On the other hand,  $\tau$  is injective by Lemma 3 of [2]. Thus,  $|\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})| > |\text{Con}(\mathfrak{A})|$ .

**Corollary 2.** *The lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$  of topologies of an arbitrary unar  $\mathfrak{A}$  is isomorphic to the lattice  $\text{Con}(\mathfrak{A})$  of its congruences if and only if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a cycle.*

**Proof.** The necessity of this assertion follows from the previous corollary.

Let  $\mathfrak{A} \cong C_n^0$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A}) \cong \text{Con}(\mathfrak{A})$  by Corollary 2 from Theorem 1 of [2]. ■

**Corollary 3.** *The following properties hold*

1. *there exist unars with isomorphic lattices of congruences, the lattices of topologies of which are not isomorphic;*
2. *there exist unars with isomorphic lattices of topologies, the lattices of congruences of which are not isomorphic.*

**Proof.** The lattices  $\text{Con}(C_1^0 + C_1^0)$  and  $\text{Con}(C_p^0)$ , where  $p$  is a prime, are isomorphic, because they both are two-element chains. However, the lattice  $\mathfrak{S}(C_1^0 + C_1^0)$  is four-element and  $\mathfrak{S}(C_p^0) \cong \text{Con}(C_p^0)$  according to Corollary 2. In order to prove the second assertion, we note first that  $\mathfrak{S}(C_1^0 + C_1^0) \cong \mathfrak{S}(C_{p_1 p_2}^0)$ , where  $p_1, p_2$  are different prime numbers. On the other hand, the lattices  $\text{Con}(C_1^0 + C_1^0)$  and  $\text{Con}(C_{p_1 p_2}^0)$  are not isomorphic because  $|\text{Con}(C_1^0 + C_1^0)| = 2$  and  $|\text{Con}(C_{p_1 p_2}^0)| = 4$ . ■

### Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Professor V.A. Artamonov for fruitful discussions and regular attention to my work.

### REFERENCES

- [1] D.P. Egorova, *Unars with congruence lattices of special kinds* (Russian), *Issledovania po algebre (Saratov)* **5** (1977), 3–19.
- [2] A.V. Kartashova, *About some properties of lattices of topologies of algebras* (Russian), Dep. no. 404 VINITI, 21.02.00.
- [3] O. Kopeček,  $|\text{End}A| = |\text{Con}A| = |\text{Sub}A| = 2^A$  for any uncountable 1-unary algebra  $A$ , *Algebra Universalis* **16** (1983), 312–317.
- [4] O. Kopeček, *A note on some cardinal functions on unary algebras*, *Contributions to General Algebra* **2** (1983), 221–227.



- [5] S.D. Orlov, *About the lattice of possible topologies* (Russian), *Uporadočennye množestva i rešetki* (Saratov) **2** (1974), 68–71.
- [6] L.A. Skorniakov, *Unars*, p. 735–743 in: *Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai*, vol. **29** (“*Universal Algebra*”), North-Holland, Amsterdam 1982.
- [7] A.K. Steiner, *The lattice of topologies: Structure and complementation*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **122** (1966), 379–398.

Received 27 November 2000

Revised 14 December 2001