

**APPENDIX TO THE PAPER  
"OSGOOD TYPE CONDITIONS FOR AN  $m$ TH  
ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION"**

STANISŁAW SZUFLA

*Department of Mathematics and Informatics  
Adam Mickiewicz University  
Poznań, Poland*

**Keywords:** initial value problems, measures of noncompactness.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 34G20.

Assume that  $I = [0, a]$ ,  $E$  is a Banach space,  $B = \{x \in E : \|x\| \leq b\}$  and  $f : I \times B \mapsto E$  is a bounded continuous function. Let  $M = \sup\{\|f(t, x)\| : t \in I, x \in B\}$ . We choose a positive number  $d$  such that  $d \leq a$  and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \|\eta_j\| \frac{d^j}{j!} + M \frac{d^m}{m!} \leq b$$

for given  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{m-1} \in E$ . Put  $J = [0, d]$  and

$$F(x)(t) = p(t) + \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} f(s, x(s)) ds \quad (t \in J).$$

Denote by  $C(J, E)$  the Banach space of continuous functions  $x : J \mapsto E$  with usual supremum norm. Let  $\tilde{B} \subset C(J, E)$  be the subset of those functions with values in  $B$ . It is known that  $F$  is a continuous mapping  $\tilde{B} \mapsto \tilde{B}$  and

$$(1) \quad \|F(x)(t) - F(x)(\tau)\| \leq K|t - \tau| \quad \text{for } t, \tau \in J \text{ and } x \in \tilde{B},$$

where  $K = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \|\eta_j\| \frac{d^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} + M \frac{d^{m-1}}{(m-1)!}$ . Moreover, a continuous function  $x : J \mapsto B$  is a solution to the Cauchy problem

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} x^{(m)} &= f(t, x) \\ x(0) = 0, x'(0) &= \eta_1, \dots, x^{(m-1)}(0) = \eta_{m-1} \end{aligned}$$

if  $x$  is a fixed point of  $F$ .

The purpose of this paper is to show that the following theorem is true:

**Theorem.** *Let  $w : [0, 2b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$  be a continuous nondecreasing function such that  $w(0) = 0$ ,  $w(r) > 0$  for  $r > 0$  and*

$$\int_{0+} \frac{dr}{\sqrt[m]{r^{m-1}w(r)}} = \infty.$$

If

$$(3) \quad \|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| \leq w(\|x - y\|) \quad \text{for } t \in I, \quad x, y \in B,$$

then the successive approximations  $u_n$ , defined by

$$(4) \quad u_0 = 0, u_{n+1} = F(u_n) \quad \text{for } n \in N,$$

converge uniformly on  $J$  to the unique solution  $u$  of (2).

**Proof.** First, similarly as in the proof of Theorem III. 9.1 in [1], we shall show that

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n(t) - u_{n-1}(t)\| = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in J.$$

Put  $\lambda(t) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n(t) - u_{n-1}(t)\|$ . From (1) and (2) it is clear that

$$\|u_n(t_1) - u_{n-1}(t_1)\| \leq \|u_n(t_2) - u_{n-1}(t_2)\| + 2K|t_1 - t_2|.$$

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $n_0 \in N$  such that

$$\|u_n(t_2) - u_{n-1}(t_2)\| \leq \lambda(t_2) + \varepsilon \quad \text{for } n \geq n_0.$$

Therefore

$$\|u_n(t_1) - u_{n-1}(t_1)\| \leq \lambda(t_2) + \varepsilon + 2K|t_1 - t_2| \quad \text{for } n \geq n_0$$

and consequently,

$$\lambda(t_1) \leq \lambda(t_2) + \varepsilon + 2K|t_1 - t_2|.$$

As  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we get

$$\lambda(t_1) \leq \lambda(t_2) + 2K|t_1 - t_2|.$$

This implies

$$|\lambda(t_1) - \lambda(t_2)| \leq 2K|t_1 - t_2| \quad \text{for } t_1, t_2 \in J,$$

which proves the continuity of  $\lambda(\cdot)$ .

Further, from (4) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t)\| &= \|F(u_n)(t) - F(u_{n-1})(t)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} \|f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u_{n-1}(s))\| ds. \end{aligned}$$

By (3) this implies

$$(6) \quad \|u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} w(\|u_n(s) - u_{n-1}(s)\|) ds.$$

Since the sequence  $(\|u_n(\cdot) - u_{n-1}(\cdot)\|)$  is equicontinuous and uniformly bounded, from the definition of  $\lambda(\cdot)$  and Arzela's Lemma we deduce that for fixed  $t \in J$  there exists a subsequence  $(n_k)$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{n_k+1}(t) - u_{n_k}(t)\| = \lambda(t)$  and  $\|u_{n_k}(s) - u_{n_k-1}(s)\| \rightarrow \lambda_1(s)$  uniformly in  $s \in J$ . Replacing  $n$  by  $n_k$  in (6) and passing to the limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain the inequality

$$\lambda(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} w(\lambda_1(s)) ds.$$

As  $\lambda_1(s) \leq \overline{\lim} \|u_n(s) - u_{n-1}(s)\| = \lambda(s)$  and  $w(r)$  is nondecreasing, we see that

$$0 \leq \lambda(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} w(\lambda(s)) ds \quad \text{for } t \in J.$$

Applying now Theorem 2 of [3], we conclude that  $\lambda(t) \equiv 0$  on  $J$ , which proves (5).

On the other hand, (3) implies that

$$(7) \quad \alpha(f(t, X)) \leq w(\alpha(X)) \quad \text{for } t \in J \text{ and } X \subset B,$$

where  $\alpha$  is the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness. Now we shall show that the sequence  $(u_n)$  has a limit point.

Let  $V = \{u_n : n \in N\}$ . Then, by (1),  $V$  is a bounded equicontinuous subset of  $\tilde{B}$ . Denote by  $v$  the function defined by  $v(t) = \alpha(V(t))$  for  $t \in J$ , where  $V(t) = \{u_n(t) : n \in N\}$ . It is well known that the function  $v$  is continuous. As  $V = F(V) \cup \{0\}$ , we have

$$V(t) \subset F(V)(t) \cup \{0\}$$

and consequently  $\alpha(V(t)) \leq \alpha(F(V)(t))$ . Since

$$F(V)(t) \subset \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \left\{ \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} f(s, u_n(s)) ds : n \in N \right\},$$

then Heinz's lemma [2] proves that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(F(V)(t)) &\leq \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \alpha \left( \left\{ \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} f(s, u_n(s)) ds : n \in N \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t \alpha(\{(t-s)^{m-1} f(s, u_n(s)) : n \in N\}) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} \alpha(\{f(s, u_n(s)) : n \in N\}) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, in view of (7), we have

$$\alpha(\{f(s, u_n(s)) : n \in N\}) \leq w(\alpha(V(s))).$$

Hence

$$v(t) \leq \alpha(F(V)(t)) \leq \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} w(v(s)) ds \quad \text{for } t \in J.$$

Applying now Theorem 2 from [3], we deduce that  $v(t) \equiv 0$  on  $J$ . This proves that  $V(t)$  is relatively compact for  $t \in J$  and consequently, by Ascoli's Theorem,  $V$  is relatively compact in  $C(J, E)$ . Hence the sequence  $(u_n)$  has a subsequence  $(u_{n_k})$  which converges to a limit  $u$ . This fact, together with

(5) and (4), implies that  $u = F(u)$ , i.e.  $u$  is a solution of (2). Suppose that  $\bar{u}$  is another solution of (2). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - \bar{u}(t)\| &= \|F(u)(t) - F(\bar{u})(t)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{m-1} w(\|u(s) - \bar{u}(s)\|) ds \quad \text{for } t \in J, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore by Theorem 2 of [3] we get  $\|u(t) - \bar{u}(t)\| \equiv 0$  on  $J$ . Thus  $u = \bar{u}$ . From the above considerations it is clear that the sequence  $(u_n)$  has a unique limit point  $u$ , and hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(t) = u(t)$  uniformly on  $J$ .

## References

- [1] P. Hartman, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, New York-London-Sydney 1964.
- [2] H.P. Heinz, *On the behaviour of measures of noncompactness with respect to differentiation and integration of vector-valued functions*, *Nonlinear Analysis* **7** (1983), 1351–1371.
- [3] S. Szufła, *Osgood type conditions for an  $m$ th order differential equation*, *Discuss. Math. Differential Inclusions* **18** (1998), 45–55.

Received 24 October 2000