

## LIE IDEALS IN PRIME $\Gamma$ -RINGS WITH DERIVATIONS

NISHEMAN N. SULIMAN

*Salahaddin University,  
Department of Mathematics,  
Erbil, Iraq*

**e-mail:** vananesh@gmail.com

AND

ABDUL-RAHMAN H. MAJEED

*Baghdad University,  
Department of Mathematics,  
Baghdad, Iraq*

**e-mail:** Ahmajeed@yahoo.com

### Abstract

Let  $M$  be a 2 and 3-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -ring,  $d$  a nonzero derivation on  $M$  and  $U$  a nonzero Lie ideal of  $M$ . In this paper it is proved that  $U$  is a central Lie ideal of  $M$  if  $d$  satisfies one of the following

- (i)  $d(U) \subset Z$ ,
- (ii)  $d(U) \subset U$  and  $d^2(U) = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $d(U) \subset U$ ,  $d^2(U) \subset Z$ .

**Keywords:** prime  $\Gamma$ -rings, Lie ideals, derivations.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary: 16Y99; Secondary: 16W25, 16N60.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of a  $\Gamma$ -ring was first introduced by Nobusawa [5], and generalized by Barnes [1] as follows: A  $\Gamma$ -ring is a pair  $(M, \Gamma)$  where  $M$  and  $\Gamma$  are additive abelian groups for which there exists a map from  $M \times \Gamma \times M$  to  $M$  (the image of  $(x, \alpha, y)$  was denoted by  $x\alpha y$ ) such that

- (i)  $(x + y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z,$   
 $x(\alpha + \beta)y = x\alpha y + x\beta y,$   
 $x\alpha(y + z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z,$   
(ii)  $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z),$

for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , then  $M$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -ring.

Recall that a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is called prime if for any two elements  $x, y \in M$ ,  $x\Gamma M\Gamma y = 0$  implies either  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$ , and  $M$  is called semiprime if  $x\Gamma M\Gamma x = 0$  with  $x \in M$  implies  $x = 0$ . Note that every prime  $\Gamma$ -ring is obviously semiprime. An additive mapping  $d: M \rightarrow M$  is called a derivation if  $d(x\alpha y) = d(x)\alpha y + x\alpha d(y)$  for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . An additive subgroup  $I$  of  $M$  is called a left (right) ideal of  $M$  if  $M\Gamma I \subset I$  ( $I\Gamma M \subset I$ ). If  $I$  is both left and right ideal of  $M$ , then we say  $I$  is an ideal of  $M$ . The set  $Z = \{x \in M; x\alpha y = y\alpha x \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  is called the center of  $M$ . An additive subgroup  $U$  of  $M$  is said to be a Lie ideal of  $M$  if  $[u, x]_\alpha \in U$ , for all  $u \in U, x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .  $M$  is  $n$ -torsion free if  $nx = 0$ , for  $x \in M$  implies  $x = 0$ , where  $n$  is an integer. The commutator  $x\alpha y - y\alpha x$  will be denoted by  $[x, y]_\alpha$ . We will use for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , the basic commutator identities:

$$[x\alpha y, z]_\beta = x\alpha[y, z]_\beta + [x, z]_\beta\alpha y + x[\alpha, \beta]_z y, \text{ and}$$

$$[x, y\alpha z]_\beta = y\alpha[x, z]_\beta + [x, y]_\beta\alpha z + y[\beta, \alpha]_x z.$$

Throughout this paper, We consider the following assumption  $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$ , for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  and it will be represented by property (\*) is a central.

According to the assumption property (\*), the above two identities reduced to

$$[x\alpha y, z]_\beta = x\alpha[y, z]_\beta + [x, z]_\beta\alpha y, \text{ and}$$

$$[x, y\alpha z]_\beta = y\alpha[x, z]_\beta + [x, y]_\beta\alpha z.$$

The relationship between the derivations and Lie ideals of a prime ring has been investigated by a number of authors (see [2, 3] and [4]). In [2], Bergen, Herstien and Kerr showed that if  $U$  is a nonzero Lie ideal of a 2-torsion free prime ring  $R$  and  $d$  a nonzero derivation of  $R$  such that  $d^2(U) = 0$  or  $d^2(U) \subset Z$  then  $U$  is central. Our aim in this paper is generalized the above results in prime  $\Gamma$ -rings with Lie ideals.

## 2. THE RESULTS

For proving the main results, we have needed some important lemmas. So we start as follows:

**Remark 1.** Let  $M$  be 2-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $d$  a derivation of  $M$ . Then for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , we have the followings:

- (i) If  $d^2 = 0$  on  $M$ , then  $d = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $d([x, y]_\alpha) = [d(x), y]_\alpha + [x, d(y)]_\alpha$ ,
- (iii)  $d^2(x\alpha y) = d^2(x)\alpha y + 2d(x)\alpha d(y) + x\alpha d^2(y)$ ,
- (iv)  $d^3(x\alpha y) = d^3(x)\alpha y + 3d^2(x)\alpha d(y) + 3d(x)\alpha d^2(y) + x\alpha d^3(y)$ .

**Lemma 2** ([6], Lemma 1). *Let  $M$  be 2-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $Z$  the center of  $M$ . Then the following are satisfied:*

- (i) *If  $x \in Z$ , and  $x\Gamma y = 0$ , then either  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$ .*
- (ii) *If  $x \in Z$ , and  $x\Gamma y \subset Z$ , then either  $x = 0$  or  $y \in Z$ .*

**Lemma 3** ([3], Lemma 2). *Let  $0 \neq U$  be a Lie ideal of a 2-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  and  $U \not\subseteq Z$ . If for  $a, b \in M$  such that  $a\Gamma U\Gamma b = 0$ , then  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .*

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $U$  be a nonzero Lie ideal of prime  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ . If  $[M, U]_\alpha \subset Z$ , then  $U \subset Z$ .*

**Proof.** For all  $x \in M, u \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , we have  $[x, u]_\alpha \in [M, U]_\alpha$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $x\beta u$ , we get

$$[x\beta u, u]_\alpha = [x, u]_\alpha \beta u \in Z, \text{ for all } x \in M, u \in U \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Since  $[x, u]_\alpha \in Z$ , then by Lemma 2(ii) we obtain  $[x, u]_\alpha = 0$  or  $u \in Z$ , then the result required.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $0 \neq U$  be a Lie ideal of 2-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  satisfying property (\*). If  $[U, U]_\Gamma = 0$ , then  $U \subset Z$  (If  $U$  is a commutative Lie ideal, then  $U$  is central).*

**Proof.** For all  $x \in M, u \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , we have  $[u, x]_\alpha \in U$ . Hence by hypothesis we have

$$[u, [u, x]_\alpha]_\beta = 0, \text{ for all } x \in M, u \in U \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Equivalently

$$(1) \quad u\beta[u, x]_\alpha = [u, x]_\alpha \beta u, \text{ for all } x \in M, u \in U \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $x\alpha y$ , for  $y \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , we get

$$(2) \quad u\beta x\alpha[u, y]_\alpha + u\beta[u, x]_\alpha \alpha y = x\alpha[u, y]_\alpha \beta u + [u, x]_\alpha \alpha y \beta u.$$

Using (1) for  $u\beta[u, x]_\alpha = [u, x]_\alpha\beta u$  and  $[u, y]_\alpha\beta u = u\beta[u, y]_\alpha$  in (2) we obtain

$$u\beta x\alpha[u, y]_\alpha + [u, x]_\alpha\beta u\alpha y = x\alpha u\beta[u, y]_\alpha + [u, x]_\alpha\alpha y\beta u.$$

Using property (\*) we get  $2[u, x]_\alpha\beta[u, y]_\alpha = 0$ . Since  $M$  is 2-torsion free, this leads to

$$[u, x]_\alpha\beta[u, y]_\alpha = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in M, u \in U \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $y\gamma x$ , we find that

$$[u, x]_\alpha\beta y\gamma[u, x]_\alpha = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in M, u \in U \text{ and } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Thus  $[u, x]_\Gamma\Gamma M\Gamma[u, x]_\Gamma = 0$ , for all  $x \in M, u \in U$ . By primeness of  $M$ , we conclude  $[u, x]_\Gamma = 0$ , yields  $U \subset Z$ . ■

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $U$  be a nonzero Lie ideal of 2-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  and  $d$  a nonzero derivation of  $M$ . If  $a \in U$  such that  $[a, d(x)]_\alpha = 0$ , for all  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $a \in Z$ .*

**Proof.** By hypothesis we have  $[a, d(x)]_\alpha = 0$ , for all  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $x\beta y$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [a, d(x\beta y)]_\alpha \\ &= [a, d(x)]_\alpha\beta y + d(x)\beta[a, y]_\alpha + x\beta[a, d(y)]_\alpha + [a, x]_\alpha\beta d(y) \\ &= d(x)\beta[a, y]_\alpha a + [a, x]_\alpha\beta d(y). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $d(x)$ , we obtain

$$d^2(x)\beta[a, y]_\alpha = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $z\gamma y$ , we get

$$d^2(x)\beta z\gamma[a, y]_\alpha = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

By primeness we get  $d^2(x) = 0$  or  $[a, y]_\alpha = 0$ , since  $d \neq 0$ , therefore  $a \in Z$ . ■

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $U$  be a nonzero Lie ideals of a 2-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  and  $d$  a nonzero derivation of  $M$ . If  $d(U) \subset Z$ , then  $U \subset Z$ .*

**Proof.** suppose that  $U \not\subseteq Z$ , then by Lemma 5 we have  $V = [U, U] \not\subseteq Z$ . Let  $u, w \in U$ , hence from

$$d([u, w]_\alpha) = [d(u), w]_\alpha + [u, d(w)]_\alpha = 0.$$

Since  $d(u), d(w) \in Z$ . It follows that  $d(V) = 0$ .

Let  $v \in V, m \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , since  $d(v) = 0$  and  $d([v, m]_\alpha) = 0$ , we get

$$[v, d(m)]_\alpha = 0, \text{ for all } v \in V, m \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

Therefore by Lemma 6 we get  $v \in Z$ , contradiction. Accordingly,  $U \subset Z$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 8.** Let  $U \not\subseteq Z$  be a Lie ideal of 2-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  and  $d$  a nonzero derivation of  $M$ . If  $a \in M$  and  $a\Gamma d(U) = 0$  ( $d(U)\Gamma a = 0$ ), then  $a = 0$ .

**Proof.** For all  $u \in U, x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  we have  $[u, x]_\beta \gamma u \in U$ . By hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a\alpha d([u, x]_\beta \gamma u) \\ &= a\alpha [u, x]_\beta \gamma d(u), \text{ for all } x \in M, u \in U \text{ and } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $d(v)\lambda x$ , we get

$$a\alpha u \beta d(v)\lambda x \gamma d(u) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U, x \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda \in \Gamma.$$

By primeness we obtain  $a\alpha u \beta d(v) = 0$  or  $d(u) = 0$ .

Now let  $K = \{u \in U \mid a\alpha u \beta d(v) = 0\}$  and  $L = \{u \in U \mid d(u) = 0\}$ . Since  $K$  and  $L$  are additive subgroups of  $U$  and  $U = K \cup L$ , but a group can't be union of its two proper subgroups and hence  $U = K$  or  $U = L$ .

According to Theorem 7,  $d(U) \neq 0$ , which proves that  $U = K$ . Hence we get  $a\Gamma U \Gamma d(v) = 0$ , for all  $v \in U$ . By Lemma 3 we get  $a = 0$  or  $d(v) = 0$ , again by Theorem 7  $d(U) \neq 0$ , therefore  $a = 0$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 9.** Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -ring,  $U$  be a nonzero Lie ideal of  $M$  and  $d$  be a nonzero derivation of  $M$ . If  $d^2(U) = 0$  and  $d(U) \subset U$ , then  $U \subset Z$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $U \not\subseteq Z$ , for all  $x \in M, u \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  we have  $[x, u]_\alpha \in U$ . Since  $d^2(U) = 0$ , then by using Remark 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d^2([x\beta u, u]_\alpha) \\ &= d^2([x, u]_\alpha)\beta u + 2d([x, u]_\alpha)\beta d(u) + [x, u]_\alpha \beta d^2(u). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M$  is 2-torsion free and  $d^2(U) = 0$ , then we get

$$d([x, u]_\alpha)\beta d(u) = 0, \text{ for all } x \in M, u \in U \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Replacing  $u$  by  $u + d(u)$ , we get  $d([x, d(u)]_\alpha)\beta d(u) = 0$ , so that

$$[d(x), d(u)]_\alpha\beta d(u) = 0, \text{ for all } x \in M, u \in U \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

According to Lemma 8 we get  $[d(x), d(u)]_\alpha = 0$  for all  $x \in M, w \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , therefor by Lemma 6 we conclude that  $d(U) \subset Z$ , which is contradicts Theorem 7, this prove the theorem.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $M$  be a 2 and 3-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -ring,  $U$  be a nonzero Lie ideal of  $M$  and  $d$  be a nonzero derivation of  $M$ . If  $d(U) \subset U$ ,  $d^2(U) \subset Z$  and  $d^3(U) = 0$  then  $U \subset Z$ .*

**Proof.** For all  $x \in M, u \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  we have  $[x, u]_\alpha \in U$ . Since  $d^3(U) = 0$ , then we obtain  $d^3([x, u]_\alpha) = 0$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $x\beta u$  and using Remark 1(iv) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d^3([x\beta u, u]_\alpha) \\ &= 3d^2([x, u]_\alpha)\beta d(u) + 3d([x, u]_\alpha)\beta d^2(u). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M$  is 3-torsion free, then we get

$$d^2([x, u]_\alpha)\beta d(u) + d([x, u]_\alpha)\beta d^3(u) = 0, \text{ for all } x \in M, u \in U \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Replacing  $u$  by  $d(u)$  and using  $d^2(U) = 0$  we obtain

$$d^2([x, d(u)]_\alpha)\beta d^2(u) = 0.$$

Since  $d^2(U) \subset Z$ , then by Lemma 2(i) we get

$$(3) \quad d^2([x, d(u)]_\alpha) = 0 \text{ or } d^2(u) = 0.$$

If  $d^2([x, d(u)]_\alpha) = 0$ , then replacing  $x$  by  $x\beta d(u)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d^2([x\beta d(u), d(u)]_\alpha) \\ &= d^2([x, d(u)]_\alpha)\beta d(u) \\ &= d^2([x, d(u)]_\alpha)\beta d(u) + 2d([x, d(u)]_\alpha)\beta d^2(u) + [x, d(u)]_\alpha\beta d^3(u). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $d^3(U) = 0$ ,  $M$  is a 2-torsion free and by relation (3), then the last equation reduced to

$$d([x, d(u)]_\alpha \beta d^2(u)) = 0, \text{ for all } x \in M, u \in U \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Since  $d^2(U) \subset Z$ , then by Lemma 2(i) we get  $d([x, d(u)]_\alpha) = 0$  or  $d^2(u) = 0$ .

If  $d([x, d(u)]_\alpha) = 0$ , then replacing  $x$  by  $x\gamma d(u)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d([x\gamma d(u), d(u)]_\alpha) \\ &= d([x, d(u)]_\alpha \gamma d(u)) \\ &= d([x, d(u)]_\alpha) \gamma d(u) + [x, d(u)]_\alpha \gamma d^2(u) \\ &= [x, d(u)]_\alpha \beta d^2(u). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $d^2(U) \subset Z$  and  $d(U) \subset U$ , then by Lemma 2(i) we get  $[x, d(u)]_\alpha = 0$  or  $d^2(u) = 0$ . If  $[x, d(u)]_\alpha = 0$ , then we have  $d(u) \subset Z$ . Hence from relation (3) we have either  $d(u) \subset Z$  or  $d^2(u) = 0$ .

Now let  $K = \{u \in U \mid d(u) \subset Z\}$  and  $L = \{u \in U \mid d^2(u) = 0\}$ . Since  $K$  and  $L$  are additive subgroups of  $U$  and  $U = K \cup L$ , but a group can't be union of its two proper subgroups and hence  $U = K$  or  $U = L$ . If  $U = K$ , that is  $d(u) \subset Z$ , then by Theorem 7 we get  $U \subset Z$ , or  $U = L$ , that is  $d^2(u) = 0$ , hence by Theorem 9 we get  $U \subset Z$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $M$  be a 2 and 3-torsion free prime  $\Gamma$ -ring,  $U$  be a nonzero Lie ideal of  $M$  and  $d$  be a nonzero derivation of  $M$ . If  $d(U) \subset U$  and  $d^2(U) \subset Z$ , then  $U \subset Z$ .*

**Proof.** For all  $x \in M$ ,  $u \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  we have

$$(4) \quad d^2([x, u]_\alpha) \in Z.$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $x\beta d^2(v)$ , where  $v \in U$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ , and using  $d^2(U) \subset Z$ , we get

$$(5) \quad 2d([x, u]_\alpha) \beta d^3(v) + [x, u]_\alpha \beta d^4(v) \subset Z, \text{ for all } u, v \in U, x \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $x\gamma d^2(w)$  in relation (5), where  $w \in U$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , and using  $d^2(U) \subset Z$  and  $M$  is 2-torsion free, then the relation (5) reduced to

$$[x, u]_\alpha \gamma d^3(w) \beta d^3(v) \in Z, \text{ for all } v, u, w \in U, x \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Since  $d^2(U) \subset Z$  and  $d(U) \subset U$ , then  $d^3(U) \subset Z$  and thus by Lemma 2(ii) we have  $d^3(U) = 0$  or  $[x, u]_\alpha \subset Z$ . Therefore if  $d^3(U) = 0$ , hence by Lemma 10 yields  $U \subset Z$ . If  $[M, U]_\alpha \subset Z$ , then by Lemma 4 we get  $U \subset Z$ . ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. E. Barnes, *On the  $\Gamma$ -Rings of Nobusawa*, Pacific J. Math. **18** (1966) 411–422. doi:10.2140/pjm.1966.18.411
- [2] J. Bergan, I. N. Herstein, and W. Kerr, *Lie Ideals and Derivations of Prime Rings*, J. Algebra **71** (1981) 259–267. doi:10.1016/0021-8693(81)90120-4
- [3] A. K. Halder and A. C. Paul, *Jordan Left Derivations on Lie Ideals of Prime  $\Gamma$ -Rings*, Punjab Univ. J. of Math. (2011) 1–7.
- [4] P.H.Lee and T.K.Lee, *Lie Ideals of Prime Rings with Derivations*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Scin. **11** (1983) 75–80.
- [5] N. Nobusawa, *On a Generalization of the Ring Theory*, Osaka J. Math. **1** (1964) 81–89.
- [6] M. Soyuturk, *The Commutativity in Prime Gamma Rings with Derivation*, Tr. J. Math. **18** (1994) 149–155.

Received 24 October 2012  
Revised 3 January 2013