

ON MAXIMAL IDEALS OF PSEUDO-BCK-ALGEBRAS

ANDRZEJ WALENDZIAK

Institute of Mathematics and Physics
University of Podlasie
3 Maja 54, 08–110 Siedlce, Poland

e-mail: walent@interia.pl

Abstract

We investigate maximal ideals of pseudo-BCK-algebras and give some characterizations of them.

Keywords: pseudo-BCK-algebra, (maximal) ideal.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03G25; 06F35.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1958, C.C. Chang [1] introduced MV (Many Valued) algebras. In 1966, Y. Imai and K. Iséki [12] introduced the notion of BCK-algebra. In 1996, P. Hájek ([9], [10]) invented Basic Logic (BL for short) and BL-algebras, structures that correspond to this logical system. The class of BL-algebras contains the MV-algebras. G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu [5] (1999), and independently J. Rachůnek [20] introduced pseudo-MV-algebras which are a non-commutative generalization of MV-algebras. After pseudo-MV-algebras, the pseudo-BL-algebras [6] (2000), and the pseudo-BCK-algebras [7] (2001) were introduced and studied. The paper [7] contains basic properties of pseudo-BCK-algebras and their connections with pseudo-MV-algebras and with pseudo-BL-algebras. Y.B. Jun [17] obtained some characterizations of pseudo-BCK-algebras. A. Iorgulescu ([13], [14]) studied particular classes of pseudo-BCK-algebras.

K. Iséki and S. Tanaka ([16]) introduced the notion of ideals in BCK-algebras and investigated some interesting and fundamental results. R. Halaš and J. Kühn [11] applied this concept to pseudo-BCK-algebras. (They called ideals as deductive systems.) In this paper, we give some characterizations of maximal ideals in pseudo-BCK-algebras.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The notion of pseudo-BCK-algebras is defined by Georgescu and Iorgulescu [7] as follows:

Definition 2.1. A *pseudo-BCK-algebra* is a structure $(A; \leq, *, \circ, 0)$, where “ \leq ” is a binary relation on a set A , “ $*$ ” and “ \circ ” are binary operations on A and “ 0 ” is an element of A , verifying the axioms: for all $x, y, z \in A$,

$$(pBCK-1) \quad (x * y) \circ (x * z) \leq z * y, \quad (x \circ y) * (x \circ z) \leq z \circ y,$$

$$(pBCK-2) \quad x * (x \circ y) \leq y, \quad x \circ (x * y) \leq y,$$

$$(pBCK-3) \quad x \leq x,$$

$$(pBCK-4) \quad 0 \leq x,$$

$$(pBCK-5) \quad (x \leq y \text{ and } y \leq x) \Rightarrow x = y,$$

$$(pBCK-6) \quad x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x * y = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \circ y = 0.$$

Note that every pseudo-BCK-algebra satisfying $x * y = x \circ y$ for all $x, y \in A$ is a BCK-algebra.

Proposition 2.2 ([7]). *Let $(A; \leq, *, \circ, 0)$ be a pseudo-BCK-algebra. Then for all $x, y, z \in A$:*

$$(a) \quad x \leq y \text{ and } y \leq z \Rightarrow x \leq z;$$

$$(b) \quad x * y \leq x, \quad x \circ y \leq x;$$

- (c) $(x * y) \circ z = (x \circ z) * y$;
- (d) $x * 0 = x = x \circ 0$;
- (e) $x \leq y \Rightarrow x * z \leq y * z, \quad x \circ z \leq y \circ z$.

If $(A; \leq, *, \circ, 0)$ is a pseudo-BCK-algebra, then $(A; \leq)$ is a poset by (pBCK-3), (pBCK-5), and Proposition 2.2 (a). The underlying order \leq can be retrieved via (pBCK-6) and hence we may equivalently regard $(A; \leq, *, \circ, 0)$ to be an algebra $(A; *, \circ, 0)$. J. Kühr [18] showed that pseudo-BCK-algebras as algebras $(A; *, \circ, 0)$ of type $\langle 2, 2, 0 \rangle$ form a quasivariety which is not a variety.

Throughout this paper A will denote a pseudo-BCK-algebra. For $x, y \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ($\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) we define $x *^n y$ inductively

$$x *^0 y = x, \quad x *^{n+1} y = (x *^n y) * y \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

$x \circ^n y$ is defined in the same way.

Example 2.3 ([11], Example 2.4). Let $A = \{0, a, b, c\}$ and define binary operations “ $*$ ” and “ \circ ” on A by the following tables:

*	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	0
b	b	b	0	0
c	c	b	b	0

\circ	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	0
b	b	b	0	0
c	c	c	a	0

Then $(A; *, \circ, 0)$ is a pseudo-BCK-algebra.

Example 2.4. Let $(M; \oplus, ^-, \sim, 0, 1)$ be a pseudo-MV-algebra and we put $x \odot y = (y^- \oplus x^-)^\sim (= (y^\sim \oplus x^\sim)^-)$ by Proposition 1.7 (1) of [8]. Define

$$x * y = x \odot y^- \quad \text{and} \quad x \circ y = y^\sim \odot x.$$

By 4.1.3 of [18], $(M; *, \circ, 0)$ is a pseudo-BCK-algebra.

3. IDEALS

Definition 3.1. A subset I of a pseudo-BCK-algebra A is called an *ideal* of A if it satisfies for all $x, y \in A$:

$$(I1) \quad 0 \in I,$$

$$(I2) \quad \text{if } x * y \in I \text{ and } y \in I, \text{ then } x \in I.$$

We will denote by $\text{Id}(A)$ the set of all ideals of A .

Proposition 3.2. *Let $I \in \text{Id}(A)$. Then for any $x, y \in A$, if $y \in I$ and $x \leq y$, then $x \in I$.*

Proof. Straightforward.

Proposition 3.3. *Let I be a subset of A . Then I is an ideal of A if and only if it satisfies conditions (I1) and*

$$(I2') \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A, \text{ if } x \circ y \in I \text{ and } y \in I, \text{ then } x \in I.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that if (I2) is satisfied, then (I2') is also satisfied. The proof of the converse of this implication is analogous. Suppose that $x \circ y \in I$ and $y \in I$. From (pBCK-2) we know that $x * (x \circ y) \leq y$. Then, by Proposition 3.2, $x * (x \circ y) \in I$. Hence, since $x \circ y \in I$, (I2) shows that $x \in I$. ■

For every subset $X \subseteq A$, we denote by $(X]$ the ideal of A generated by X , that is, $(X]$ is the smallest ideal containing X . If $X = \{a\}$, we write $(a]$ for $(\{a\})$. By Lemma 2.2 of [11], $(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ and for every $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq A$,

$$\begin{aligned} (X] &= \{x \in A : (\cdots (x * a_1) * \cdots) * a_n = 0 \text{ for some } a_1, \dots, a_n \in X\} \\ &= \{x \in A : (\cdots (x \circ a_1) \circ \cdots) \circ a_n = 0 \text{ for some } a_1, \dots, a_n \in X\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.4. An ideal I of A is called *normal* if it satisfies the following condition:

$$(N) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A, x * y \in I \Leftrightarrow x \circ y \in I.$$

Example 3.5. Let A be the pseudo-BCK-algebra from Example 2.3. Ideals of A are $\{0\}, \{0, a\}, A$; $\{0, a\}$ is not normal, because $c \circ b = a \in I$ while $c * b = b \notin I$.

Example 3.6 ([2], see also [15], 430). Let $A = \{(1, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y \geq 0\} \cup \{(2, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y \leq 0\}$ and $\mathbf{0} = (1, 0), \mathbf{1} = (2, 0)$. For any $(a, b), (c, d) \in A$, we define operations $\oplus, ^-, \sim$ as follows:

$$(a, b) \oplus (c, d) = \begin{cases} (ac, bc + d) & \text{if } ac < 2 \text{ or } (ac = 2 \text{ and } bc + d < 0) \\ (2, 0) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$(a, b)^- = \left(\frac{2}{a}, \frac{-b}{a}\right), \quad (a, b)^\sim = \left(\frac{2}{a}, \frac{-2b}{a}\right).$$

Then $(A, \oplus, ^-, \sim, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$ is a pseudo-MV-algebra. For $x, y \in A$, we set

$$x * y = (y \oplus x^\sim)^- \quad \text{and} \quad x \circ y = (x^- \oplus y)^\sim.$$

Therefore $(A; *, \circ, \mathbf{0})$ is a pseudo-BCK-algebra (see Example 2.4). We have

$$(a, b) * (c, d) = \left((c, d) \oplus \left(\frac{2}{a}, \frac{-2b}{a}\right) \right)^-$$

and hence

$$(a, b) * (c, d) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{a}{c}, \frac{b-d}{c}\right) & \text{if } a = 2c \text{ or } (a = c \text{ and } d < b) \\ (1, 0) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Similarly,

$$(a, b) \circ (c, d) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{a}{c}, b - \frac{ad}{c} \right) & \text{if } a = 2c \text{ or } (a = c \text{ and } d < b) \\ (1, 0) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $I = \{(1, y) : y \geq 0\}$ is an ideal of A . Observe that I is normal. Indeed,

$$(a, b) * (c, d) \notin I \Leftrightarrow a = 2c \Leftrightarrow (a, b) \circ (c, d) \notin I.$$

Lemma 3.7. *Let I be a normal ideal of A . Then*

$$x *^n a \in I \Leftrightarrow x \circ^n a \in I$$

for all $x, a \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on n . ■

Following [18] (see also [19], p. 357), for any normal ideal I of A , we define the congruence on A :

$$x \sim_I y \Leftrightarrow x * y \in I \text{ and } y * x \in I.$$

We denote by x/I the congruence class of an element $x \in A$ and on the set $A/I = \{x/I : x \in A\}$ we define the operations:

$$x/I * y/I = (x * y)/I, \quad x/I \circ y/I = (x \circ y)/I$$

(* and \circ are well defined on A/I , because \sim_I is a congruence on A). The resulting quotient algebra $(A/I; *, \circ, I)$ becomes a pseudo-BCK-algebra (see Proposition 2.2.4 of [18]), called the *quotient algebra of A by the normal ideal I* . It is clear that

$$(1) \quad x/I = 0/I \Leftrightarrow x \in I.$$

Proposition 3.8. *Let I be a normal ideal of A and let $J \subseteq A/I$. Then $J \in \text{Id}(A/I)$ if and only if $J = I_0/I$ for some $I_0 \in \text{Id}(A)$ such that $I \subseteq I_0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $J \in \text{Id}(A/I)$. Let $I_0 = \{x \in A : x/I \in J\}$. By (1), $I \subseteq I_0$. Observe that I_0 is an ideal of A . Indeed, $0 \in I_0$ and let $x * y, y \in I_0$. Then $(x * y)/I \in J$ and $y/I \in J$. Hence $x/I \in J$ and therefore $x \in I_0$. Thus $I_0 \in \text{Id}(A)$. It is easy to see that $J = I_0/I$.

Conversely, let $J = I_0/I$ for some $I_0 \in \text{Id}(A)$ such that $I \subseteq I_0$. Of course, $0/I \in J$. Let $x/I * y/I, y/I \in J$. Then $x * y \in I_0$ and $y \in I_0$. Since I_0 is an ideal of A , we see that $x \in I_0$, hence that $x/I \in J$. Consequently, $J \in \text{Id}(A/I)$. ■

Proposition 3.9. *Let I be a normal ideal of A and let $a \in A$. Denote by*

$$I_a = \{x \in A : x *^n a \in I \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then $I_a = (I \cup \{a\})$.

Proof. We first show that

$$(2) \quad I_a \subseteq (I \cup \{a\}).$$

Let $x *^n a \in I$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have $(x *^n a) * (x *^n a) = 0$. Thus

$$((\dots((x * b_1) * b_2) * \dots) * b_n) * b_{n+1} = 0,$$

where $b_1 = \dots = b_n = a$ and $b_{n+1} = x *^n a \in I$. Thus $x \in (I \cup \{a\})$. This gives (2).

Since $a * a = 0 \in I$, we see that $a \in I_a$. Let $x \in I$. Then $x * a \in I$, because $x * a \leq x$. Therefore $x \in I_a$ and hence I_a contains I . Suppose now that $x * y \in I_a$ and $y \in I_a$. It follows that there exist $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x * y) *^k a \in I$ and $y *^l a \in I$. By Lemma 3.7, $(x * y) \circ^k a \in I$. Applying Proposition 2.2 (c) we conclude that

$$(x * y) \circ^k a = ((x \circ a) * y) \circ^{k-1} a = ((x \circ^2 a) * y) \circ^{k-2} a = \dots = (x \circ^k a) * y.$$

Therefore $b := (x \circ^k a) * y \in I$. Then $((x \circ^k a) * y) \circ b = 0$ and hence $((x \circ^k a) \circ b) * y = 0$. Thus $(x \circ^k a) \circ b \leq y$. By Proposition 2.2 (e), $((x \circ^k a) \circ b) *^l a \leq y *^l a \in I$. Consequently, $((x \circ^k a) \circ b) *^l a \in I$.

According to Proposition 2.2 (c) we have $((x \circ^k a) *^l a) \circ b \in I$. Since $b \in I$, we see that $(x \circ^k a) *^l a \in I$. Lemma 3.7 now shows that $x *^{k+l} a \in I$, that is, $x \in I_a$. This proves that I_a is an ideal of A . Thus

$$(3) \quad (I \cup \{a\}) \subseteq I_a.$$

From (2) and (3) we obtain $I_a = (I \cup \{a\})$. ■

Proposition 3.9 and Lemma 3.7 give.

Corollary 3.10. *Let I be a normal ideal of A and let $a \in A$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (I \cup \{a\}) &= \{x \in A : x *^n a \in I \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &= \{x \in A : x \circ^n a \in I \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.11. *Let $a \in A$. Then $(a) = \{x \in A : x *^n a = 0 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.9 when we put $I = \{0\}$. ■

Let A and B be pseudo-BCK-algebras and let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism. The *kernel* of f is the set

$$\text{Ker } f := \{x \in A : f(x) = 0\},$$

that is, $\text{Ker } f = f^{\leftarrow}(\{0\})$, where $f^{\leftarrow}(X)$ denote the *f-inverse image* of $X \subseteq B$. It is easy to see that the next lemma holds.

Lemma 3.12. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism and let $x, y \in A$. If $f(x) = f(y)$, then $x * y, y * x \in \text{Ker } f$.*

Proposition 3.13. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism and let $I \in \text{Id}(B)$. Then $f^{\leftarrow}(I) \in \text{Id}(A)$.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward. ■

Proposition 3.14. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a surjective homomorphism and let I be an ideal of A containing $\text{Ker}f$. Then $f(I) \in \text{Id}(B)$.*

Proof. Obviously, $0 \in f(I)$. Let $x \in B, y \in f(I)$, and let $x*y \in f(I)$. Then there are $a, b \in I$ such that $y = f(a)$ and $x*y = f(b)$. Since f is surjective, $x = f(c)$ for some $c \in A$. We have $f(b) = f(c)*f(a) = f(c*a)$ and hence, by Lemma 3.12, $(c*a)*b \in \text{Ker}f \subseteq I$. Since $a, b \in I$, we conclude that $c \in I$. Therefore $x = f(c) \in f(I)$. Consequently, $f(I) \in \text{Id}(B)$. ■

4. MAXIMAL IDEALS

Definition 4.1. Let I be a proper ideal of A (i.e., $I \neq A$).

- (a) I is called *prime* if, for all $I_1, I_2 \in \text{Id}(A)$, $I = I_1 \cap I_2$ implies $I = I_1$ or $I = I_2$.
- (b) I is *maximal* iff whenever J is an ideal such that $I \subseteq J \subseteq A$, then either $J = I$ or $J = A$.

Next lemma is obvious and its proof will be omitted.

Lemma 4.2. *Every proper ideal of A can be extended to a maximal ideal.*

Lemma 4.3. *If $I \in \text{Id}(A)$ is maximal, then I is prime.*

Proof. Let I be a maximal ideal of A and let $I = I_1 \cap I_2$ for some $I_1, I_2 \in \text{Id}(A)$. Then $I \subseteq I_1$ and $I \subseteq I_2$. Suppose that $I \neq I_1$. Since I is maximal, we conclude that $I_1 = A$ and hence $I = A \cap I_2 = I_2$. By definition, I is prime. ■

Theorem 4.4.

- (i) *For each $t \in T$, let I_t be an ideal of the pseudo-BCK-algebra $(A_t; *_t, \circ_t, 0_t)$. Then $I := \prod_{t \in T} I_t$ is an ideal of $A := \prod_{t \in T} A_t$. Conversely, if I is an ideal of A , then $I_t := \pi_t(I)$, where π_t is the t -th projection of A onto A_t , is an ideal of A_t , and $I = \prod_{t \in T} I_t$.*
- (ii) *An ideal $I := \prod_{t \in T} I_t$ is maximal in $A := \prod_{t \in T} A_t$ if and only if there is an unique index $s \in T$ such that I_s is a maximal ideal of A_s and $I_t = A_t$ for any $t \neq s$.*

Proof.

- (i) The first part of the assertion is obvious. Suppose now that I is an ideal of A and let $I_t = \pi_t(I)$. Then $0_t = \pi_t(0) \in I_t$. Let $x_t *_t y_t \in I_t$ and $y_t \in I_t$. We define $x, y \in A$ by:

$$x(s) = \begin{cases} x_t & \text{for } s = t \\ 0_s & \text{for } s \neq t \end{cases} \quad \text{and } y(s) = \begin{cases} y_t & \text{for } s = t \\ 0_s & \text{for } s \neq t. \end{cases}$$

Since $I_t = \pi_t(I)$, there exists an element $z \in I$ such that $\pi_t(z) = x_t *_t y_t$. We have $(x * y)(t) = x(t) *_t y(t) = x_t *_t y_t = z(t)$ and $(x * y)(s) = 0_s *_s 0_s = 0_s \leq z(s)$ for any $s \neq t$. Therefore $x * y \leq z$ which implies that $x * y \in I$. Similarly there is an element $v \in I$ such that $\pi_t(v) = y_t \in I_t$. Obviously, $y \leq v$ and hence $y \in I$. This means that I_t is an ideal of A_t . Since $\pi_t(I) = I_t$ for all $t \in T$, we see that $I = \prod_{t \in T} I_t$.

- (ii) Let $I = \prod_{t \in T} I_t$ be a maximal ideal of A . It is easily seen that there is at least one index t such that I_t is a maximal ideal of A_t . Assume that there are two indices t_1 and t_2 such that I_{t_1} and I_{t_2} are proper ideals of A_{t_1} and A_{t_2} , respectively. Then $J := \prod_{t \in T} I'_t$, where $I'_t = I_t$ if $t \neq t_1$ and $I'_{t_1} = A_{t_1}$, is a proper ideal of A containing I , which contradicts the maximality of I . Suppose that $I = \prod_{t \in T} I_t$, where I_s is a maximal ideal of A_s and $I_t = A_t$ for all $t \neq s$. By (i), $I \in \text{Id}(A)$. Observe that I is maximal. Indeed, let $K \in \text{Id}(A)$ and $K \supset I$. Then $\pi_s(K) \supset I_s$ and $\pi_t(K) = A_t$ for all $t \neq s$. Since I_s is maximal in A_s , we see that $\pi_s(K) = A_s$, and therefore $\pi_t(K) = A_t$ for all $t \in T$. Thus $K = A$ and consequently, I is a maximal ideal of A . ■

The following two theorems give the homomorphic properties of maximal ideals.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a surjective homomorphism and let I be a maximal ideal of A containing $\text{Ker}f$. Then $f(I)$ is a maximal ideal of B .*

Proof. By Proposition 3.14, $f(I) \in \text{Id}(B)$. Let $x \in A - I$ and suppose that $f(I) = B$. Then $f(x) = f(y)$ for some $y \in I$. Applying Lemma 3.12 we conclude that $x * y \in I$, and hence $x \in I$, a contradiction. Therefore $f(I) \neq B$. We take a proper ideal J of B such that $J \supseteq f(I)$. From Proposition 3.13 we deduce that $f^{\leftarrow}(J) \in \text{Id}(A)$. It is easy to see that $I \subseteq f^{\leftarrow}(J) \subset A$. Since I is maximal, $f^{\leftarrow}(J) = I$. Consequently, $f(I) = f(f^{\leftarrow}(J)) = J$. Thus $f(I)$ is a maximal ideal of B . ■

Theorem 4.6. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a surjective homomorphism and let J be a maximal ideal of B . Then $f^{\leftarrow}(J)$ is a maximal ideal of A .*

Proof. From Proposition 3.13 it follows that $I := f^{\leftarrow}(J) \in \text{Id}(A)$. It is easily seen that $I \neq A$. By Lemma 4.2 there is a maximal ideal I' of A containing I . We have

$$I = f^{\leftarrow}(J) \supseteq f^{\leftarrow}(\{0\}) = \text{Ker}f.$$

Since $I' \supseteq I \supseteq \text{Ker}f$, Theorem 4.5 shows that $f(I')$ is a maximal ideal of B . Obviously, $f(I') \supseteq f(f^{\leftarrow}(J)) = J$ and hence $f(I') = J$. Then $I' \subseteq f^{\leftarrow}(f(I')) = f^{\leftarrow}(J) = I \subseteq I'$, that is, $f^{\leftarrow}(J) = I'$. Thus $f^{\leftarrow}(J)$ is a maximal ideal of A . ■

Theorem 4.7. *For every proper normal ideal I of a pseudo-BCK-algebra A , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) I is a maximal ideal of A ;
- (b) for any $x \in A$, $y \in A - I$, $x *^n y \in I$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (c) for any $x \in A$, $y \in A - I$, $x \circ^n y \in I$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (d) $|\text{Id}(A/I)| = 2$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): Let $x \in A$. Suppose that I is a maximal ideal of A and let $y \in A - I$. Then $(I \cup \{y\}) = A$ and hence $x \in (I \cup \{y\})$. By Proposition 3.9, $x *^n y \in I$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(b) \Leftrightarrow (c): The equivalence of (b) and (c) follows from the fact that I is a normal ideal.

(c) \Rightarrow (a): Let J be an ideal of A containing I . Suppose that $J \neq I$ and let $y \in J - I$. For every $x \in A$, by assumption, $x \circ^n y \in I$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $x \circ^n y \in J$ and hence $x \in J$, because $y \in J$. Therefore $J = A$.

(a) \Rightarrow (d): Let I be a normal and maximal ideal of A , and let J be an ideal of A/I . By Proposition 3.8, $J = I_0/I$ for some $I_0 \in \text{Id}(A)$ such that $I \subseteq I_0$. Since I is maximal, $I_0 = I$ or $I_0 = A$. Consequently, $J = \{0/I\}$ or $J = A/I$.

(d) \Rightarrow (a): Let I_0 be a proper ideal of A containing I . From Proposition 3,8 it follows that $J = I_0/I$ is an ideal of A/I . Therefore $J = \{0/I\}$, that is, $I_0 = I$, which proves that I is maximal. ■

Acknowledgements

The author thanks the referee for his remarks which were incorporated into this revised version.

REFERENCES

- [1] C.C. Chang, *Algebraic analysis of many valued logics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1958), 467–490. doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-1958-0094302-9
- [2] G. Dymek and A. Walendziak, *On maximal ideals of pseudo MV-algebras*, Commentationes Mathematicae **42** (2007), 117–126.
- [3] G. Dymek and A. Walendziak, *Fuzzy ideals of pseudo-BCK-algebras*, submitted.
- [4] A. Dvurečenskij and S. Pulmannová, *New Trends in Quantum Structures*, Dordrecht-Boston-London 2000.
- [5] G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu, *Pseudo-MV algebras: a noncommutative extension of MV algebras*, p. 961–968 in: “*The Proc. of the Fourth International Symp. on Economic Informatics*”, Bucharest, Romania 1999.
- [6] G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu, *Pseudo-BL algebras: a noncommutative extension of BL algebras*, p. 90–92 in: “*Abstracts of the Fifth International Conference FSTA 2000*”, Slovakia 2000.
- [7] G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu, *Pseudo-BCK algebras: an extension of BCK algebras*, p. 97–114 in: “*Proc. of DMTCS’01: Combinatorics, Computability and Logic*”, Springer, London 2001. doi:10.1007/978-1-4471-0717-0_9

- [8] G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu, *Pseudo-MV algebras*, *Multiplae-Valued Logic* **6** (2001), 95–135.
- [9] P. Hájek, *Metamathematics of fuzzy logic*, Inst. of Comp. Science, Academy of Science of Czech Rep., Technical report 682 (1996).
- [10] P. Hájek, *Metamathematics of fuzzy logic*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998.
- [11] R. Halaš and J. Kühr, *Deductive systems and annihilators of pseudo-BCK algebras*, *Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, submitted.
- [12] Y. Imai and K. Iséki, *On axiom systems of propositional calculi XIV*, *Proc. Japan Academy* **42** (1966), 19–22. doi:10.3792/pja/1195522169
- [13] A. Iorgulescu, *Classes of pseudo-BCK algebras, Part I*, *Journal of Multiplae-Valued Logic and Soft Computing* **12** (2006), 71–130.
- [14] A. Iorgulescu, *Classes of pseudo-BCK algebras, Part II*, *Journal of Multiplae-Valued Logic and Soft Computing* **12** (2006), 575–629.
- [15] A. Iorgulescu, *Algebras of logic as BCK algebras*, submitted.
- [16] K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, *Ideal theory of BCK-algebras*, *Math. Japonicae* **21** (1976), 351–366.
- [17] Y.B. Jun, *Characterizations of pseudo-BCK algebras*, *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae* **57** (2003), 265–270.
- [18] J. Kühr, *Pseudo-BCK-algebras and related structures*, Univerzita Palackého v Olomouci 2007.
- [19] J. Kühr, *Representable pseudo-BCK-algebras and integral residuated lattices*, *Journal of Algebra* **317** (2007), 354–364. doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2007.07.003
- [20] J. Rachůnek, *A non-commutative generalization of MV algebras*, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **52** (2002), 255–273.

Received 8 March 2010

Revised 14 June 2010